

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the nonsample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farms	14.8
Land in farms.....acres	10.8
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹\$1,000	4.8
Market value of agricultural products sold ..\$1,000	6.1
Harvested croplandacres	9.7
Corn for grain or seedacres	8.4
Wheat for grainacres	11.0
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calvesnumber	9.2
Hogs and pigsnumber	5.7
Hens and pullets of laying agenumber6

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	5.9
50	3.8
75	2.9
100	2.2
150	1.2
200	1.1
300	.9
500	.7
750	.6
1,000	.5
1,500	.4
2,000	(X)
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	23.6
50	19.4
75	17.8
100	16.9
150	16.0
200	15.5
300	15.0
500	14.6
750	14.4
1,000	14.2
1,500	14.1
2,000	(X)

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS					
Farms ----- number	46 562	1.1	F FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹		
Land in farms ----- acres	10 088 170	1.1	Total farm production expenses ----- farms	46 558	.6
Average size of farm ----- acres	217	1.5	Total farm production expenses ----- \$1,000	2 583 189	.4
			Average per farm ----- dollars	55 483	.8
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD					
Total sales (see text) ----- farms	46 562	1.1	Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms	13 767	1.6
\$1,000	3 028 547	.6	Total farm production expenses ----- \$1,000	205 867	1.3
Average per farm ----- dollars	65 043	1.3	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms	21 222	1.2
			Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms	333 497	.8
Farms by value of sales:			Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms	10 357	1.8
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms	5 716	.7	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms	177 647	.8
\$1,000	1 597	.8	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms	30 784	.9
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms	5 207	.8	Petroleum products ----- farms	137 278	.7
\$1,000	8 669	.8	Electricity ----- farms	33 548	.9
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms	5 579	.9	Hired farm labor ----- farms	218 185	.9
\$1,000	20 216	1.0	Contract labor ----- farms	33 010	.9
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms	6 387	1.2	Repair and maintenance ----- farms	149 282	.8
\$1,000	45 454	1.2	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery ----- farms	44 242	.7
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms	6 045	1.7	and equipment ----- farms	141 653	.6
\$1,000	85 706	1.8	Interest expense ----- farms	15 413	1.5
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms	1 707	2.0	Secured by real estate ----- farms	40 995	1.6
\$1,000	37 974	2.1	Not secured by real estate ----- farms	21 399	1.2
			Cash rent ----- farms	181 417	.9
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms	3 228	2.0	Property taxes ----- farms	12 115	1.1
\$1,000	102 141	2.1	All other farm production expenses ----- farms	56 426	1.7
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms	1 455	2.1	\$1,000	14 665	1.5
\$1,000	64 521	2.1	\$1,000	132 101	1.2
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms	4 163	1.9	\$1,000	43 651	.7
\$1,000	296 306	1.9	\$1,000	170 743	.8
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms	4 363	1.2	\$1,000	42 218	.7
\$1,000	685 054	1.0	\$1,000	292 492	.6
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms	1 793	—			
\$1,000	617 171	—			
\$500,000 or more ----- farms	919	—			
\$1,000	1 063 739	—			
Sales by commodity or commodity group:					
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	33 778	1.2			
\$1,000	1 671 563	.6			
Grains ----- farms	22 701	1.4			
\$1,000	766 941	.9			
Corn for grain ----- farms	15 075	1.4			
\$1,000	362 334	.8			
Wheat ----- farms	12 186	1.6			
\$1,000	85 584	—			
Soybeans ----- farms	13 099	1.4			
\$1,000	220 044	1.0			
Sorghum for grain ----- farms	5	14.8			
\$1,000	45	21.3			
Barley ----- farms	319	2.3			
\$1,000	1 317	2.6			
Oats ----- farms	2 591	1.9			
\$1,000	5 281	1.7			
Other grains ----- farms	3 889	1.7			
\$1,000	92 336	1.1			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms	—	—			
\$1,000	—	—			
Tobacco ----- farms	—	—			
\$1,000	—	—			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms	10 791	1.2			
\$1,000	55 317	1.3			
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms	3 006	1.0			
\$1,000	159 144	.4			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms	3 540	.9			
\$1,000	205 459	.5			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	1 928	.8			
\$1,000	309 521	.2			
Other crops ----- farms	1 996	1.2			
\$1,000	175 181	.4			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms	22 300	1.2			
\$1,000	1 356 984	.6			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms	1 582	1.1			
\$1,000	136 649	.1			
Dairy products ----- farms	4 836	1.5			
\$1,000	616 567	.1			
Cattle and calves ----- farms	15 780	1.3			
\$1,000	345 457	.7			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms	4 770	1.2			
\$1,000	213 440	.6			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms	1 798	1.2			
\$1,000	5 400	1.9			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms	3 661	.9			
\$1,000	39 471	.8			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms	4 019	1.0	Total ----- farms	2 014	1.4
\$1,000	21 093	.9	\$1,000	43 087	.7
G GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME					
Government payments ----- farms	15 862	1.5			
\$1,000	91 610	1.0			
Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms	11 274	1.9			
\$1,000	52 101	3.3			
Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms	3 903	3.3			
\$1,000	23 737	5.6			
Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms	3 841	3.5			
\$1,000	11 651	5.7			
Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms	1 662	4.8			
\$1,000	9 036	7.4			
Other farm-related income sources ----- farms	3 945	3.0			
\$1,000	7 677	6.2			
C COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS					
Total ----- farms	2 014				
\$1,000	43 087				

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-7

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE							
Total cropland	farms--	44 320	All operators	farms--	46 562		
	acres--	8 156 388		acres--	10 088 170		
Harvested cropland	farms--	41 334	Full owners	farms--	27 070		
	acres--	6 584 251		acres--	2 946 685		
Farms by acres harvested:			Part owners	farms--	16 500		
1 to 9 acres	farms--	5 253		acres--	6 503 889		
	acres--	23 398	Tenants	farms--	2 992		
10 to 19 acres	farms--	4 857		acres--	637 596		
	acres--	65 610					
20 to 29 acres	farms--	3 624	OWNED AND RENTED LAND				
	acres--	84 743	Land owned	farms--	43 663		
30 to 49 acres	farms--	5 396		acres--	6 655 303		
	acres--	202 228	Owned land in farms	farms--	43 570		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	7 289		acres--	6 299 466		
	acres--	510 240	Land rented or leased from others	farms--	19 580		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	6 024		acres--	3 810 038		
	acres--	838 961	Rented or leased land in farms	landlords--	65 084		
200 to 499 acres	farms--	5 609		farms--	19 492		
	acres--	1 741 406	Rented or leased to others	acres--	3 788 704		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	2 334					
	acres--	1 587 319	Land rented or leased from others	farms--	5 679		
1,000 acres or more	farms--	948		acres--	377 171		
	acres--	1 530 346					
Cropland:			OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS				
Pasture or grazing only	farms--	15 354	Operators by place of residence:				
	acres--	517 225	On farm operated		38 336		
Other cropland	farms--	23 807			1.2		
	acres--	1 054 912	Not on farm operated		5 682		
					1.0		
Total woodland	farms--	25 481	Not reported		2 544		
	acres--	1 200 827			1.1		
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured	farms--	5 717	OPERATORS BY PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION				
	acres--	199 912	Operators by principal occupation:				
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms--	31 889	Farming		24 396		
	acres--	531 043			1.3		
Irrigated land	farms--	3 823	Other		22 166		
	acres--	366 465			.9		
Acres irrigated:			OPERATORS BY DAYS WORKED OFF FARM				
1 to 9 acres	farms--	1 720	Any		25 462		
	acres--	4 684			1.0		
10 to 49 acres	farms--	845	200 days or more		17 984		
	acres--	19 304			1.0		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	367	OPERATORS BY SEX				
	acres--	25 196	Male	farms--	43 435		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	377		acres--	9 739 656		
	acres--	51 122	Female	farms--	3 127		
200 to 499 acres	farms--	347		acres--	348 514		
	acres--	105 342	Average age of operator	years--	51.9		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	112			1.6		
	acres--	73 378	FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION				
1,000 acres or more	farms--	55	Individual or family (sole proprietorship)	farms--	40 654		
	acres--	87 439		acres--	7 462 640		
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms--	3 767	Partnership	farms--	4 316		
	acres--	364 718		acres--	1 896 429		
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms--	137	Corporation:				
	acres--	1 747	Family held	farms--	1 294		
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:				acres--	619 314		
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms--	10 139	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	23		
	acres--	135 063	10 or less stockholders	farms--	1 271		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs	farms--	2 937	Other than family held	farms--	137		
	acres--	130 652		acres--	49 500		
			More than 10 stockholders	farms--	.9		
			10 or less stockholders	farms--	4.9		
			Other —cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc.	farms--	123		
				acres--	161		
					2.1		
					.9		
					2.3		
					.8		
					2.4		
					1.2		
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹							
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms--	46 558	HIRE FARM LABOR				
\$1,000--		.6	Hired workers by days worked:				
Average per farm	dollars--	11 517 049	150 days or more	farms--	6 853		
Average per acre	dollars--	247 370		workers--	20 501		
		1 131	Less than 150 days	farms--	14 426		
				workers--	83 923		
					15.0		
					7.1		
					20.7		
					17.6		
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹							
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms--	46 489	INJURIES AND DEATHS				
\$1,000--		.6	Farm-related injuries:				
Average per farm	dollars--	2 558 206	Operator and family members	farms--	416		
		55 028		number--	473		
			Hired workers	farms--	454		
				number--	861		
					1.6		
					1.7		
					.9		
					.6		
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹							
Commercial fertilizer	farms--	33 372	Farm-related deaths:				
acres on which used--		5 696 920	Operator and family members	farms--	16		
				number--	16		
			Hired workers	farms--	2		
				number--	(D)		
					5.9		
					5.9		
					—		
					(D)		

See footnotes at end of table.

C-8 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
F FARMS BY SIZE					
1 to 9 acres	farms--	2 562	Cattle and calves sold	farms--	15 780
	acres--	10 907	number--	594 685	.9
10 to 49 acres	farms--	11 148	\$1,000--	345 457	.7
	acres--	311 060	farms--	4 774	1.2
50 to 69 acres	farms--	3 334	number--	1 231 641	.7
	acres--	194 315	Hogs and pigs inventory	farms--	4 770
70 to 99 acres	farms--	5 679	number--	2 300 151	1.2
	acres--	463 983	Hogs and pigs sold	farms--	213 440
100 to 139 acres	farms--	4 796	number--	\$1,000--	.6
	acres--	559 470	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms--	1 831
140 to 179 acres	farms--	3 640	number--	97 433	1.2
	acres--	572 489	Sheep and lambs sold	farms--	1 694
180 to 219 acres	farms--	2 566	number--	88 882	1.8
	acres--	505 145	Horses and ponies inventory	farms--	7 846
220 to 259 acres	farms--	1 968	number--	53 991	.9
	acres--	466 247	Horses and ponies sold	farms--	2 370
260 to 499 acres	farms--	5 755	number--	number--	1.0
	acres--	2 043 779	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	8 086
500 to 999 acres	farms--	3 576	number--	386	1.6
	acres--	2 445 241	number--	400 262	1.9
1,000 to 1,999 acres	farms--	1 234	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	1 609 317	number--	5 388 894	1.0
2,000 acres or more	farms--	304	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	acres--	906 217	number--	4 607 549	.2
F FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION					
Cash grains (011)	farms--	14 418	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	4 256 772	number--	400 262	11.6
Field crops, except cash grains (013)	farms--	5 274	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	865 942	number--	5 388 894	.2
Vegetables and melons (016)	farms--	1 561	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	acres--	249 946	number--	4 607 549	1.0
Fruits and tree nuts (017)	farms--	.8	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	3 295	number--	400 262	1.9
Horticultural specialties (018)	farms--	.9	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	392 116	number--	5 388 894	.2
General farms, primarily crop (019)	farms--	.7	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	acres--	1 664	number--	4 607 549	.2
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)	farms--	103 544	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	1 800	number--	400 262	11.6
Dairy farms (024)	farms--	357 908	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	1 772 569	number--	5 388 894	.2
Poultry and eggs (025)	farms--	4 271	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	acres--	1 729 999	number--	4 607 549	.2
Animal specialties (027)	farms--	1.0	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	368	number--	400 262	1.9
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)	farms--	.8	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	40 739	number--	5 388 894	.2
		1.1	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
		155 440	number--	4 607 549	.2
L LIVESTOCK					
Cattle and calves inventory	farms--	446	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	number--	163 195	number--	400 262	11.6
Beef cows	farms--	1.7	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	number--	7 548	number--	5 388 894	.2
Milk cows	farms--	1.3	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	number--	116 106	number--	4 607 549	.2
		1.5	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
		5 198	number--	400 262	1.9
		.8	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
		316 954	number--	5 388 894	.2
LIVESTOCK—Con.					
Cattle and calves sold	farms--	15 780	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	number--	594 685	number--	4 607 549	.2
Hogs and pigs inventory	farms--	345 457	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	number--	1 231 641	number--	400 262	11.6
Hogs and pigs sold	farms--	4 774	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	number--	2 300 151	number--	5 388 894	.2
Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms--	213 440	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	number--	1 831	number--	4 607 549	.2
Sheep and lambs sold	farms--	97 433	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	number--	1 694	number--	400 262	1.9
Horses and ponies inventory	farms--	7 846	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	number--	53 991	number--	5 388 894	.2
Horses and ponies sold	farms--	2 370	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	number--	8 086	number--	4 607 549	.2
P Poultry					
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	number--	5 388 894	number--	400 262	11.6
Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	number--	4 607 549	number--	5 388 894	.2
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	number--	400 262	number--	4 607 549	.2
C CROPS HARVESTED					
Corn for grain or seed	farms--	18 962	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	2 221 271	number--	400 262	11.6
	bushels--	226 824 263	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
Corn for silage or green chop	farms--	6 031	number--	5 388 894	.2
	acres--	304 478	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	tons, green--	3 704 783	number--	4 607 549	.2
Wheat for grain	farms--	12 433	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	583 245	number--	400 262	11.6
	bushels--	29 350 586	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
Oats for grain	farms--	5 436	number--	5 388 894	.2
	acres--	113 359	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	bushels--	6 650 151	number--	4 607 549	.2
Soybeans for beans	farms--	13 175	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	1 332 114	number--	400 262	11.6
	bushels--	41 633 625	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas	farms--	3 176	number--	5 388 894	.2
	acres--	322 334	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	cwt--	4 229 224	number--	4 607 549	.2
Irish potatoes	farms--	492	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	47 061	number--	400 262	11.6
	cwt--	13 186 117	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
Sugar beets for sugar	farms--	1 518	number--	5 388 894	.2
	acres--	174 917	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	tons--	3 026 450	number--	4 607 549	.2
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	farms--	22 285	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	1 283 598	number--	400 262	11.6
	tons, dry--	2 821 347	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
Alfalfa hay	farms--	19 379	number--	5 388 894	.2
	acres--	888 691	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	2 417
	tons, dry--	2 186 202	number--	4 607 549	.2
Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms--	3 007	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	386
	acres--	138 851	number--	400 262	11.6
Land in orchards	farms--	3 531	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	2 454
	acres--	162 183	number--	5 388 894	.2

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)			
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS								
Farms ----- number--	23 673	1.5	FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES ¹					
Land in farms ----- acres--	8 330 634	1.1	Total farm production expenses ----- farms--	23 542	.7			
Average size of farm ----- acres--	352	1.8	\$1,000--	2 417 185	.4			
			Average per farm ----- dollars--	102 675	.8			
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD								
Total sales (see text) ----- farms--	23 673	1.5	Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms--	7 879	2.0			
\$1,000--	2 952 612	.6	\$1,000--	196 636	1.4			
Average per farm ----- dollars--	124 725	1.6	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms--	11 443	1.5			
			\$1,000--	321 038	.8			
Farms by value of sales:			Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms--	6 522	2.1			
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms--	6 045	1.7	\$1,000--	174 167	.8			
\$1,000--	85 706	1.8						
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms--	1 707	2.0	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms--	20 253	.9			
\$1,000--	37 974	2.1	\$1,000--	131 680	.7			
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms--	3 228	2.0	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms--	20 865	.9			
\$1,000--	102 141	2.1	\$1,000--	207 174	.9			
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms--	1 455	2.1	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms--	20 242	.9			
\$1,000--	64 521	2.1	Petroleum products ----- farms--	141 097	.8			
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms--	4 163	1.9	Electricity ----- farms--	23 218	.7			
\$1,000--	296 306	1.9	Hired farm labor ----- farms--	11 413	1.4			
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms--	4 363	1.2	\$1,000--	315 097	.4			
\$1,000--	685 054	1.0	Contract labor ----- farms--	2 749	3.4			
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms--	1 793	—	\$1,000--	21 600	1.9			
\$1,000--	617 171	—	Repair and maintenance ----- farms--	22 444	.8			
\$500,000 or more ----- farms--	919	—	\$1,000--	169 518	.8			
\$1,000--	1 063 739	—	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms--	10 003	1.7			
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			\$1,000--	37 962	1.7			
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms--	19 937	1.5	Interest expense ----- farms--	14 746	1.3			
\$1,000--	1 624 825	.6	\$1,000--	166 011	.9			
Grains ----- farms--	15 459	1.6	Secured by real estate ----- farms--	10 698	1.6			
\$1,000--	741 677	.9	\$1,000--	111 775	1.1			
Corn for grain ----- farms--	11 447	1.6	Not secured by real estate ----- farms--	9 337	1.8			
\$1,000--	353 301	.8	\$1,000--	54 236	1.2			
Wheat ----- farms--	9 450	1.8						
\$1,000--	80 790	1.2						
Soybeans ----- farms--	9 843	1.6						
\$1,000--	210 493	1.0						
Sorghum for grain ----- farms--	4	17.5	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹					
\$1,000--	(D)		All farms ----- number--	23 542	.7			
Barley ----- farms--	256	2.3	\$1,000--	520 092	1.2			
\$1,000--	(D)		Average per farm ----- dollars--	22 092	1.4			
Oats ----- farms--	1 773	2.1						
\$1,000--	4 564	1.8						
Other grains ----- farms--	3 392	1.8	Farms with net gains ² ----- number--	15 850	1.2			
\$1,000--	91 230	1.1	\$1,000--	627 411	.9			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms--	—	—	Average net gain ----- dollars--	39 584	1.5			
\$1,000--	—	—						
Tobacco ----- farms--	—	—	Farms with net losses ----- number--	7 692	2.1			
\$1,000--	—	—	\$1,000--	107 319	2.5			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms--	4 719	1.7	Average net loss ----- dollars--	13 952	3.3			
\$1,000--	42 867	1.5						
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms--	2 017	1.1	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME					
\$1,000--	156 406	.4	Government payments ----- farms--	11 619	1.6			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms--	2 158	1.1	\$1,000--	84 083	.9			
\$1,000--	201 668	.5	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms--	6 744	2.2			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms--	1 334	.8	\$1,000--	41 349	4.0			
\$1,000--	307 323	.2	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms--	2 758	3.7			
Other crops ----- farms--	1 843	1.3	\$1,000--	21 618	6.1			
\$1,000--	174 883	.4	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms--	1 426	5.6			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms--	12 302	1.6	\$1,000--	6 733	8.4			
\$1,000--	1 327 787	.6	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms--	988	6.4			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms--	550	1.8	\$1,000--	6 982	8.8			
\$1,000--	136 129	.1	Other farm-related income sources ----- farms--	3 062	3.2			
Dairy products ----- farms--	4 755	1.5	\$1,000--	6 016	6.4			
\$1,000--	616 225	.7						
Cattle and calves ----- farms--	9 840	1.6						
\$1,000--	327 605	.7						
Hogs and pigs ----- farms--	3 054	1.6						
\$1,000--	209 903	.6						
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms--	618	2.0						
\$1,000--	3 971	2.4						
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms--	1 021	1.6	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS					
\$1,000--	33 954	.9	Total ----- farms--	1 830	1.4			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms--	1 751	1.5	\$1,000--	42 855	.7			
\$1,000--	17 831	1.0						

See footnotes at end of table.

C-10 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE							
Total cropland	farms-- acres--	23 124 7 088 682	1.5 1.0	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) farms-- acres--	19 179 5 840 599	1.6 1.3	
Harvested cropland	farms-- acres--	22 684 6 025 895	1.5 1.0	Partnership--	3 262 1 806 160	1.1 .6	
Cropland:				Corporation:			
Pasture or grazing only	farms-- acres--	6 986 329 560	1.7 1.5	Family held	farms-- acres--	1 058 599 073	.7 .4
Total woodland	farms-- acres--	13 227 760 463	1.6 1.3	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	22 1 036	3.4 .8
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured	farms-- acres--	2 507 129 550	1.6 1.1	10 or less stockholders	farms--	1 036 85	.8 2.5
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms-- acres--	15 743 351 939	1.5 1.2	Other than family held	farms-- acres--	96 41 559	2.3 .9
Irrigated land	farms-- acres--	2 929 360 463	1.2 .4	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	11 85	4.3 2.5
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms-- acres--	2 908 359 137	.9 .4	10 or less stockholders	farms--	85 43 243	.8 1.0
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms-- acres--	75 1 326	2.9 3.7	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc.	farms-- acres--	78 43 243	3.0 1.0
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:				Hired farm labor			
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms-- acres--	8 857 132 098	1.6 .9	Hired workers by days worked:			
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs	farms-- acres--	1 680 77 686	1.8 1.7	150 days or more	farms--	5 509 19 068	15.4 6.8
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹							
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms-- \$1,000-- dollars--	23 542 8 998 951 382 251	.7 .8 1.1	Less than 150 days	farms-- workers--	9 999 73 191	23.3 17.5
Average per farm	dollars--	1 074	1.1	INJURIES AND DEATHS			
Average per acre	dollars--			Farm-related injuries:			
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹							
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms-- \$1,000-- dollars--	23 530 2 148 503 91 309	.7 .9 1.1	Operator and family members	farms-- number--	278 317	1.8 1.9
Average per farm	dollars--			Hired workers	farms-- number--	426 821	.8 .5
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹							
Commercial fertilizer	farms-- acres on which used--	20 798 5 337 217	.9 .8	Farm-related deaths:			
				Operator and family members	farms-- number--	16 (D)	5.9 (D)
TENURE OF OPERATOR							
All operators	farms-- acres--	23 673 8 330 634	1.5 1.1	Hired workers	farms-- number--	2 (D)	— (D)
Full owners	farms-- acres--	9 283 1 695 093	1.6 1.5				
Part owners	farms-- acres--	12 511 6 056 509	1.5 1.0	F FARMS BY SIZE			
Tenants	farms-- acres--	1 879 579 032	1.5 1.1	1 to 9 acres	farms--	685	1.3
OWNED AND RENTED LAND				10 to 49 acres	farms--	1 902	1.2
Land owned	farms-- acres--	21 845 4 968 562	1.5 1.2	50 to 69 acres	farms--	918	1.6
Owned land in farms	farms-- acres--	21 794 4 792 213	1.5 1.2	70 to 99 acres	farms--	1 959	1.7
Land rented or leased from others	farms-- acres-- landlords--	14 423 3 554 674 55 428	1.4 .9 1.1	100 to 139 acres	farms--	2 489	2.0
Rented or leased land in farms	farms-- acres--	14 390 3 538 421	1.4 .9	140 to 179 acres	farms--	2 294	2.0
Land rented or leased to others	farms-- acres--	2 212 192 602	1.6 1.6	180 to 219 acres	farms--	1 822	2.1
OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS				220 to 259 acres	farms--	1 552	2.1
Operators by place of residence:				260 to 499 acres	farms--	5 066	1.9
On farm operated		19 653	1.6	500 to 999 acres	farms--	3 460	1.2
Not on farm operated		2 802	1.3	1,000 to 1,999 acres	farms--	1 225	—
Not reported		1 218	1.4	2,000 acres or more	farms--	301	—
Operators by principal occupation:				F FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION			
Farming		17 054	1.5	Cash grains (011)	farms--	8 802	1.8
Other		6 619	1.6	Field crops, except cash grains (013)	farms--	1 342	1.6
Operators by days worked off farm:				Vegetables and melons (016)	farms--	925	1.4
Any		9 974	1.6	Fruits and tree nuts (017)	farms--	1 747	1.1
200 days or more		6 103	1.6	Horticultural specialties (018)	farms--	1 145	.8
Operators by sex:				General farms, primarily crop (019)	farms--	544	2.0
Male		22 715	1.5	Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)	farms-- number--	4 191	1.7
Female		958	1.6	Dairy farms (024)	farms-- number--	4 216	1.5
Average age of operator	years--	50.9	2.1	Poultry and eggs (025)	farms-- number--	128	1.6
See footnotes at end of table.				Animal specialties (027)	farms-- number--	392	1.9
				General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)	farms-- number--	241	2.2
LIVESTOCK							
Cattle and calves inventory	farms-- number--			Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	9 840	1.6
Beef cows	farms-- number--			Beef cattle	farms-- number--	553 909	.8
Milk cows	farms-- number--			\$1,000--	farms-- number--	327 605	.7
Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--			Hogs and pigs inventory	farms-- number--	2 925	1.6
Beef cattle	farms-- number--			Hogs and pigs sold	farms-- number--	1 193 329	.7
Milk cattle	farms-- number--			\$1,000--	farms-- number--	3 054	1.6
Horses and ponies inventory	farms-- number--			Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--	2 252 910	.7
Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms-- number--			\$1,000--	farms-- number--	209 903	.6
Sheep and lambs sold	farms-- number--			Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--	611	2.0
Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--			Beef cattle	farms-- number--	60 502	2.5
				Sheep and lambs	farms-- number--	588	2.1
				Horses and ponies	farms-- number--	60 819	3.0
				Horses and ponies	farms-- number--	601	1.8
				Horses and ponies	farms-- number--	3 847	2.8

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
POULTRY					
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	729	Soybeans for beans	farms--	9 875
number--	5 327 826	.2	acres--	1 245 826	1.6
Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	718	bushels--	39 581 642	1.1
number--	4 560 993	.2	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas	farms--	2 864
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	115	acres--	316 657	1.0
number--	373 304	3.6	cwt--	4 176 032	1.9
CROPS HARVESTED					
Corn for grain or seed	farms--	14 270	Irish potatoes	farms--	374
acres--	2 137 034	1.6	acres--	46 885	1.5
bushels--	220 432 118	.9	cwt--	13 163 494	.6
Corn for silage or green chop	farms--	5 148	Sugar beets for sugar	farms--	1 485
acres--	293 054	1.6	acres--	174 654	1.3
tons, green--	3 597 191	.9	tons--	3 022 251	.6
Wheat for grain	farms--	9 535	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass	farms--	11 074
acres--	537 010	1.8	acres--	986 503	1.7
bushels--	27 504 640	1.2	tons, dry--	2 384 704	1.2
Oats for grain	farms--	3 905	Alfalfa hay	farms--	10 017
acres--	96 923	1.9	acres--	670 658	1.4
bushels--	5 871 437	1.6	Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms--	1 834 465
		1.7	acres--	2 017	1.2
			Land in orchards	farms--	134 806
			acres--	1 856	1.1
				147 407	.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms			Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	
Farms-----	-9.0	1.3	-2.1	1.8	
Land in farms -----	-2.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	
Average size of farm -----	7.4	2.1	3.5	2.4	
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :					
Average per farm -----	26.2	2.1	20.1	2.2	
Average per acre -----	16.5	1.9	15.1	2.0	
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :					
Average per farm -----	19.7	2.1	15.9	2.2	
Farms by size:					
1 to 9 acres -----	-10.6	1.4	-7.9	1.8	
10 to 49 acres -----	-8.4	1.3	12.3	2.0	
50 to 179 acres -----	-11.8	1.4	.8	2.2	
180 to 499 acres -----	-9.2	2.0	-8.7	2.1	
500 to 999 acres -----	-2.5	1.4	-2.8	1.4	
1,000 to 1,999 acres -----	8.8	—	9.6	—	
2,000 acres or more -----	36.3	—	36.8	—	
Total cropland -----	-8.9	1.3	-1.7	1.8	
acres-----	-3	1.3	2.9	1.3	
Harvested cropland -----	-10.2	1.3	-2.1	1.8	
acres-----	6.7	1.3	10.4	1.4	
Irrigated land -----	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	
acres-----	16.4	.8	17.0	.8	
Market value of agricultural products sold -----	\$1,000 --	.9	20.3	1.0	
Average per farm -----	dollars--	30.8	2.2	22.9	
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops -----	\$1,000 --	31.3	1.0	33.7	1.0
Livestock, poultry, and their products -----	\$1,000 --	6.7	.9	7.1	.9
Farms by value of sales:					
Less than \$2,500 -----	-13.8	1.0	(X)	(X)	
\$2,500 to \$4,999 -----	-17.6	1.3	(X)	(X)	
\$5,000 to \$9,999 -----	-15.4	1.4	(X)	(X)	
\$10,000 to \$24,999 -----	-8.4	1.9	-8.4	1.9	
\$25,000 to \$49,999 -----	-6.4	2.4	-6.4	2.4	
\$50,000 to \$99,999 -----	-3.7	2.4	-3.7	2.4	
\$100,000 to \$249,999 -----	.6	1.4	.6	1.4	
\$250,000 to \$499,999 -----	22.1	—	22.1	—	
\$500,000 or more -----	56.3	—	56.3	—	
Total farm production expenses ¹ -----	\$1,000--	16.8	1.0	18.4	1.1
Average per farm -----	dollars--	28.4	1.8	21.4	1.9
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹ -----	farms--	-9.0	1.1	-2.5	1.3
\$1,000--		34.7	3.0	30.2	2.3
Average per farm -----	dollars--	48.1	3.7	33.5	3.0
Operators by principal occupation:					
Farming -----	-6.6	1.5	-4.0	1.7	
Other -----	-11.5	1.3	3.2	2.1	
Operators by days worked off farm:					
Any -----	-12.7	4.5	-4.3	5.0	
200 days or more -----	-13.6	4.4	.4	5.3	
Livestock and poultry:					
Cattle and calves inventory -----	farms--	-12.4	1.4	-7.6	1.9
number--		-4.3	1.1	-3.2	1.1
Beef cows -----	farms--	-7.5	1.5	8.6	2.3
number--		5.4	1.9	14.8	2.3
Milk cows -----	farms--	-20.0	1.5	-16.3	1.7
number--		-8.0	1.1	-7.5	1.1
Cattle and calves sold -----	farms--	-13.1	1.4	-8.4	1.8
number--		-9.0	.9	-8.1	.9
Hogs and pigs inventory -----	farms--	-14.4	1.4	-17.1	1.7
number--		.4	.9	.8	.9
Hogs and pigs sold -----	farms--	-14.9	1.4	-16.9	1.7
number--		3.8	1.0	4.3	1.0
Sheep and lambs inventory -----	farms--	-11.0	1.5	-15.1	2.2
number--		-3.8	2.2	.1	3.1
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory -----	farms--	-30.9	1.1	-31.8	1.6
number--		-36.1	.2	-36.2	.2
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold -----	farms--	-22.0	2.0	-35.4	2.9
number--		-43.0	7.8	-43.8	8.2
Selected crops harvested:					
Corn for grain or seed -----	farms--	-24.6	1.3	-13.2	1.7
acres--		12.0	1.3	17.0	1.3
bushels--		19.5	1.3	23.5	1.3
Wheat for grain -----	farms--	20.4	2.3	24.0	2.6
acres--		63.8	2.4	67.6	2.5
bushels--		78.3	2.5	81.8	2.6
Soybeans for beans -----	farms--	3.5	1.8	13.9	2.2
acres--		30.1	1.8	34.7	1.9
Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas -----	farms--	14.8	1.5	18.1	1.6
acres--		-22.5	1.7	-15.6	2.0
cwt--		-16.0	1.3	-14.0	1.3
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) -----	farms--	-14.2	1.3	-12.5	1.3
acres--		-10.7	1.3	-10.6	1.4
tons, dry--		-15.1	1.2	-14.2	1.2
Vegetables harvested for sale (see text) -----	farms--	-8.0	1.2	-3.8	1.4
acres--		.2	.7	.1	.7
Land in orchards -----	farms--	-6.9	1.2	-3.4	1.4
acres--		.4	.9	1.1	.9

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-13

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----										
Alcona -----	46 562	1.1	10 088 170	1.1	217	1.5	247 370	1.0	2 558 206	.8
Alger -----	209	.9	42 572	1.9	204	2.1	148 051	14.5	5 582	8.9
Alegan -----	58	.8	16 099	3.3	278	3.4	164 828	6.7	1 836	5.5
Alpena -----	1 469	.5	246 403	.6	168	.8	236 460	3.9	85 546	2.4
Antrim -----	379	.9	77 493	1.5	204	1.8	133 297	7.3	10 246	4.8
Arenac -----	247	.5	51 517	1.3	209	1.4	202 299	7.1	10 823	8.4
Baraga -----	276	.9	82 418	1.4	299	1.7	270 205	7.5	19 125	9.8
Barry -----	57	.5	14 104	2.5	247	2.6	117 918	6.1	2 039	5.7
Bay -----	833	.6	165 371	.9	199	1.1	199 439	4.0	35 252	6.9
Benzie -----	795	.5	181 052	.7	228	.8	293 678	4.6	67 064	5.2
Berrien -----	120	.5	19 844	1.6	165	1.7	173 486	3.7	5 356	6.9
Branch -----	1 312	.5	166 886	.7	127	.9	186 559	3.0	60 263	2.8
Calhoun -----	935	1.0	227 665	1.2	243	1.6	248 478	8.4	59 328	5.5
Cass -----	1 080	.5	244 927	.6	227	.7	212 228	4.2	48 124	4.7
Charlevoix -----	735	.5	186 431	.7	254	.8	253 121	3.1	46 452	3.5
Cheboygan -----	196	.7	41 037	1.6	209	1.7	161 439	7.7	6 447	13.2
Chippewa -----	170	.9	40 871	2.1	240	2.3	144 930	5.3	6 501	8.3
Clare -----	332	.6	92 809	1.1	280	1.3	142 856	11.5	14 070	10.5
Clinton -----	292	.8	64 084	1.2	219	1.4	121 363	5.1	7 633	7.5
Crawford -----	1 207	.7	256 236	.9	212	1.1	213 723	2.7	60 652	2.9
Delta -----	17	1.9	1 402	6.8	82	7.0	101 459	9.0	366	7.0
Dickinson -----	237	.6	72 777	1.3	307	1.4	147 978	10.4	9 868	9.0
Eaton -----	99	.7	28 415	2.0	287	2.1	158 663	4.8	7 134	7.0
Emmet -----	1 069	.8	233 921	1.0	219	1.3	236 304	3.3	53 384	5.4
Genesee -----	188	.8	40 365	1.7	215	1.9	236 632	14.3	6 199	6.8
Gladwin -----	799	.6	137 082	.7	172	.9	241 367	3.5	33 066	5.0
Gogebic -----	400	.8	61 535	1.1	154	1.4	121 819	9.0	9 158	6.2
Grand Traverse -----	50	.9	5 965	2.8	119	3.0	81 769	7.2	1 269	5.6
Gratiot -----	409	.5	66 789	1.1	163	1.2	262 220	6.4	16 981	8.4
Hillsdale -----	914	.9	277 400	.9	304	1.2	307 824	4.1	62 032	3.6
Huron -----	1 018	1.0	231 557	1.2	227	1.5	214 815	3.7	49 707	4.6
Houghton -----	139	.6	29 161	1.8	210	1.9	116 879	17.5	3 538	9.0
Ingham -----	1 325	1.3	438 914	1.0	331	1.7	380 024	2.9	130 606	2.7
Ionia -----	835	.5	193 688	.6	232	.7	276 681	4.7	48 054	6.4
Iosco -----	1 007	.8	254 793	.9	253	1.2	232 784	4.1	63 547	4.9
Iron -----	206	.8	47 308	2.0	230	2.1	146 607	7.7	9 349	24.2
Isabella -----	75	.8	29 763	1.0	397	1.2	197 774	4.6	2 844	3.3
Jackson -----	883	1.0	199 733	1.1	226	1.5	184 391	4.2	46 907	6.3
Kalamazoo -----	1 041	.8	210 638	1.0	202	1.2	190 716	3.5	46 187	5.7
Kalkaska -----	745	.5	154 482	.7	207	.8	349 106	4.7	43 359	7.0
Kent -----	79	.9	16 076	2.2	203	2.3	147 289	5.0	2 654	4.8
Keweenaw -----	1 190	.6	190 706	.6	160	.8	301 712	3.9	70 464	2.6
Lake -----	3	—	264	—	88	—	72 635	—	25	—
Lapeer -----	101	.8	18 047	2.2	179	2.4	117 678	7.2	3 354	11.7
Leelanau -----	1 119	.8	193 956	1.1	173	1.4	249 654	4.0	55 546	5.1
Lenawee -----	374	.5	64 973	.8	174	.9	277 323	5.5	20 671	5.5
Livingston -----	1 259	.5	336 273	.5	267	.7	325 229	2.5	78 041	3.0
Luce -----	683	.5	118 764	.8	174	1.0	268 868	4.4	27 567	6.5
Mackinac -----	31	.6	9 391	2.5	303	2.5	157 328	6.3	1 348	4.0
Macomb -----	70	.8	22 178	1.6	317	1.8	186 037	7.6	3 037	4.7
Manistee -----	558	.6	70 306	1.1	126	1.3	357 988	7.7	29 683	5.2
Marquette -----	252	.5	48 029	1.3	191	1.4	175 584	10.0	10 737	8.6
Mason -----	84	.8	23 290	2.0	277	2.2	142 591	6.7	2 048	6.3
Mecosta -----	402	.9	73 437	1.2	183	1.5	182 482	5.1	17 486	5.4
Menominee -----	592	.8	121 153	1.2	205	1.5	151 212	7.2	23 525	7.0
Midland -----	309	.5	110 014	.8	356	1.0	224 315	15.7	15 540	8.9
Missaukee -----	442	.9	89 173	1.4	202	1.7	242 242	8.2	18 529	7.6
Monroe -----	289	.4	88 322	.9	306	1.0	228 032	4.5	16 798	3.7
Montcalm -----	1 135	.6	217 095	.7	191	.9	328 448	3.9	64 375	5.1
Montmorency -----	900	.6	224 030	.8	249	1.0	218 459	3.6	57 481	3.9
Muskegon -----	112	1.0	22 056	2.7	197	2.9	147 426	5.7	3 956	8.9
Newaygo -----	417	.6	73 661	.8	177	1.0	217 472	6.5	21 631	7.3
Oakland -----	667	.6	115 338	.9	173	1.0	167 660	4.7	33 530	4.2
Oceana -----	503	.7	48 236	1.6	96	1.8	250 153	5.3	19 527	5.0
Ogemaw -----	594	.6	129 083	.6	217	.8	207 896	3.8	30 703	3.8
Ontonagon -----	261	1.1	75 345	1.5	289	1.9	211 837	13.4	18 351	9.1
Oscoda -----	95	.5	32 980	1.3	347	1.4	122 305	6.4	3 748	6.1
Oscoda -----	458	.8	108 726	1.2	237	1.5	162 554	4.7	22 354	7.6
Oscoda -----	76	1.2	14 081	3.6	185	3.7	133 743	6.6	1 555	5.6
Osceola -----	133	.8	36 272	1.8	273	2.0	206 806	6.2	6 010	11.0
Ottawa -----	1 367	.5	176 305	.6	129	.8	268 234	3.1	84 413	2.9
Presque Isle -----	278	1.0	79 921	1.5	287	1.8	214 969	9.4	17 456	14.6
Roscommon -----	36	.8	3 786	5.6	105	5.6	95 425	7.8	727	7.4
Saginaw -----	1 294	.5	318 125	.6	246	.8	286 240	2.8	83 700	3.5
St. Clair -----	988	.6	181 569	.8	184	1.0	234 789	4.2	53 433	7.0
St. Joseph -----	855	.7	234 823	.7	275	1.0	323 109	4.5	60 403	5.2
Sanilac -----	1 433	.9	444 407	.8	310	1.2	275 080	2.5	106 460	2.7
Schoolcraft -----	49	.9	13 908	3.5	284	3.6	126 328	6.4	1 976	5.4
Shiawassee -----	1 086	.9	236 799	1.1	218	1.4	233 064	3.4	57 909	8.0
Tuscola -----	1 128	.6	324 111	.5	287	.8	383 843	3.3	82 449	4.2
Van Buren -----	1 164	.6	206 781	.6	178	.9	219 656	3.7	62 020	3.9
Washtenaw -----	1 057	.6	188 958	.8	179	1.0	357 056	3.9	55 682	3.7
Wayne -----	303	.9	22 488	2.3	74	2.5	252 642	7.5	10 146	6.0
Wexford -----	178	.6	31 427	1.6	177	1.8	141 567	6.9	5 265	7.5

See footnotes at end of table.

C-14 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan	55 028	1.0	3 028 547	.6	65 043	1.3	46 558	.6	2 583 189	.4
Alcona	26 838	9.0	4 360	2.7	20 863	2.8	208	1.3	3 450	7.5
Alger	31 658	7.0	1 601	5.4	27 602	5.5	58	4.3	1 505	4.9
Allegan	58 274	2.5	154 039	.3	104 860	.6	1 468	.7	126 332	.9
Alpena	27 106	5.0	10 334	1.9	27 267	2.1	378	1.3	7 468	3.5
Antrim	43 817	8.5	13 057	1.0	52 862	1.1	247	1.0	10 159	4.1
Arenac	69 294	9.9	19 651	1.2	71 198	1.5	276	1.3	13 588	5.2
Baraga	35 775	6.8	1 008	7.5	17 681	7.6	57	3.6	760	7.7
Barry	42 730	7.0	38 354	.8	46 043	.9	833	.7	36 541	2.4
Bay	84 464	5.2	60 966	.5	76 687	.7	794	.8	49 035	3.1
Benzie	44 636	7.2	4 568	1.7	38 063	1.8	120	2.1	4 152	5.1
Berrien	46 073	2.9	68 223	.5	51 999	.7	1 313	.6	59 168	1.5
Branch	64 487	5.7	66 203	.8	70 806	1.3	935	1.2	55 298	1.8
Calhoun	44 518	4.8	52 793	.5	48 883	.7	1 081	.7	46 928	2.7
Cass	63 807	3.7	72 885	.4	99 163	.7	735	.8	61 867	1.8
Charlevoix	33 060	13.2	4 907	2.3	25 036	2.4	195	1.2	3 244	5.8
Cheboygan	38 240	8.5	4 602	2.6	27 072	2.8	170	1.7	4 087	4.2
Chippewa	42 253	10.6	6 124	2.2	18 446	2.3	333	.9	6 522	10.2
Clare	26 141	7.6	10 651	1.3	36 476	1.5	292	1.2	7 930	5.2
Clinton	50 250	3.0	72 396	.6	59 980	1.0	1 207	.8	61 948	2.3
Crawford	21 522	8.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	17	5.4	164	8.1
Delta	41 812	9.0	8 379	1.7	35 356	1.8	236	1.0	7 263	6.4
Dickinson	72 797	7.3	3 987	2.8	40 275	2.9	98	2.2	3 382	5.1
Eaton	49 938	5.5	47 218	.9	44 170	1.3	1 069	1.0	39 994	2.2
Emmet	32 974	6.9	4 848	2.2	25 787	2.3	188	1.3	4 238	11.8
Genesee	41 436	5.1	30 897	.6	38 669	.9	798	.8	30 582	2.7
Gladwin	22 896	6.3	7 120	1.5	17 800	1.7	400	1.0	6 758	8.4
Gogebic	25 382	7.2	414	7.1	8 275	7.2	50	4.4	429	5.9
Grand Traverse	42 136	8.6	14 891	1.2	36 409	1.3	409	.8	12 274	4.8
Gratiot	67 868	3.8	78 632	.6	86 031	1.0	914	.9	60 069	2.1
Hillsdale	48 829	4.7	56 053	.9	55 062	1.3	1 018	1.2	50 943	2.1
Houghton	25 637	9.2	2 528	3.8	18 190	3.9	138	1.9	1 941	8.8
Huron	98 719	3.0	180 246	.6	136 035	1.5	1 324	1.3	153 967	1.1
Ingham	57 412	6.4	45 725	.6	54 760	.7	837	.7	42 502	3.8
Ionia	63 168	4.9	75 770	.7	75 244	1.1	1 006	.9	66 749	1.9
Iosco	45 165	24.3	9 574	1.5	46 474	1.7	207	1.0	8 653	5.9
Iron	37 914	4.7	1 832	3.1	24 429	3.2	75	3.3	1 851	2.9
Isabella	53 122	6.4	49 110	1.0	55 617	1.4	883	1.0	42 245	3.8
Jackson	44 411	5.7	44 654	.8	42 895	1.1	1 041	.9	41 613	1.7
Kalamazoo	58 911	7.1	87 635	.2	117 631	.5	745	.7	73 246	1.7
Kalkaska	33 598	6.0	3 096	2.5	39 186	2.7	79	3.5	2 849	2.2
Kent	59 263	2.6	105 990	.3	89 067	.6	1 189	.7	88 084	1.2
Keweenaw	8 183	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	—	8	—
Lake	32 887	11.9	1 148	4.0	11 366	4.1	102	1.7	1 314	5.7
Lapeer	49 595	5.2	48 103	.8	42 987	1.2	1 120	1.0	44 836	2.1
Leelanau	55 269	5.6	25 039	.8	66 949	.9	374	.9	17 739	3.2
Lenawee	61 937	3.0	88 993	.4	70 685	.6	1 260	.7	77 057	1.2
Livingston	40 420	6.5	29 189	.7	42 736	.9	682	.8	25 846	3.8
Luce	43 496	5.6	1 001	4.3	32 291	4.3	31	3.9	829	4.5
Mackinac	43 383	6.0	3 128	2.3	44 679	2.5	70	3.8	2 548	3.4
Macomb	53 387	5.3	34 223	.6	61 332	.8	556	.9	29 846	2.8
Manistee	42 778	8.6	7 774	1.1	30 851	1.2	251	1.1	7 164	4.1
Marquette	25 284	7.0	1 743	1.4	20 746	1.6	84	2.6	1 464	5.1
Mason	43 607	5.5	20 373	1.0	50 679	1.3	401	1.2	17 411	2.7
Mecosta	39 604	7.1	22 062	1.2	37 267	1.4	594	1.0	19 373	3.2
Menominee	51 629	9.3	16 732	1.2	54 147	1.3	309	1.1	13 880	4.8
Midland	41 920	7.7	20 347	1.1	46 033	1.4	442	1.1	19 069	4.8
Missaukee	57 924	3.8	29 085	.7	100 640	.8	290	.9	21 324	2.9
Monroe	56 818	5.1	66 291	.4	58 406	.7	1 133	.8	60 065	1.6
Montcalm	63 939	4.0	64 873	.5	72 081	.8	899	.8	53 343	2.0
Montmorency	35 318	9.3	2 564	3.2	22 892	3.3	112	2.5	2 761	9.5
Muskegon	51 872	7.4	29 658	.7	71 123	.9	417	1.0	25 252	3.9
Newaygo	50 270	4.2	39 451	.7	59 147	.9	667	.8	33 799	2.5
Oakland	38 821	5.2	25 009	.7	49 720	1.0	503	1.3	23 381	2.6
Oceana	51 601	3.9	44 054	.5	74 166	.7	595	.8	36 479	1.7
Ogemaw	70 309	9.2	15 724	1.7	60 245	2.0	261	1.5	12 285	4.7
Ontonagon	39 042	6.5	1 981	2.1	20 855	2.2	96	2.2	1 563	6.2
Oscoda	49 346	7.7	17 112	1.5	37 362	1.7	458	1.1	16 244	7.2
Osceola	20 465	7.2	1 870	4.4	24 605	4.5	76	4.4	1 709	5.0
Otsego	44 850	11.2	2 853	2.8	21 451	2.9	134	1.6	2 798	3.6
Ottawa	61 705	3.0	232 853	.2	170 339	.5	1 368	.7	196 812	.6
Presque Isle	62 791	14.6	10 891	1.6	39 176	1.9	278	1.3	10 512	7.8
Roscommon	20 196	8.5	237	6.8	6 591	6.9	36	4.3	278	8.0
Saginaw	64 633	3.6	74 553	.5	57 614	.7	1 295	.6	60 352	2.1
St. Clair	54 082	7.0	36 448	.7	36 891	.9	988	.7	32 785	2.5
St. Joseph	70 647	5.2	66 660	.5	77 965	.9	855	1.0	57 800	3.3
Sanilac	74 240	2.9	116 977	.6	81 631	1.1	1 434	1.0	94 707	1.7
Schoolcraft	40 321	6.9	1 522	3.1	31 064	3.2	49	4.3	1 298	4.5
Shiawassee	53 274	8.1	42 186	1.1	38 845	1.4	1 087	1.0	38 226	2.8
Tuscola	73 093	4.2	97 374	.4	86 324	.7	1 128	.7	79 039	3.0

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-15

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹				
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses				
							Farms		Value		
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Van Buren -----	53 374	4.0	84 931	.4	72 965	.7	1 162	.8	70 840	1.3	
Washtenaw -----	52 679	3.8	52 585	.7	49 749	.9	1 057	.7	49 962	1.5	
Wayne -----	33 596	6.2	21 465	.7	70 842	1.1	303	1.4	17 863	2.8	
Wexford -----	29 748	7.8	4 065	2.4	22 835	2.5	177	1.8	3 547	6.0	
Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased			Feed for livestock and poultry			Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees				
	Farms		Value	Farms		Value	Farms		Value		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
	Michigan -----	13 767	1.6	205 867	1.3	21 222	1.2	333 497	.8	30 784	.9
Alcona -----	59	24.0	526	14.7	98	17.5	644	20.1	69	20.6	87
Alger -----	21	6.6	101	6.1	28	6.1	320	6.7	23	6.5	25
Allegan -----	524	6.8	14 259	4.8	745	5.0	26 623	1.5	979	3.5	4 490
Alpena -----	122	19.4	351	26.7	168	14.0	968	3.5	184	14.2	408
Antrim -----	84	16.8	789	11.8	115	13.2	1 013	12.5	121	12.5	378
Arenac -----	75	21.6	395	22.1	120	14.8	1 576	13.7	213	6.3	784
Baraga -----	17	7.1	42	13.1	34	4.8	186	12.2	24	6.0	16
Barry -----	329	8.3	4 168	13.6	516	5.8	7 597	4.5	420	7.0	1 390
Bay -----	74	27.6	512	23.5	126	18.2	861	12.1	679	2.8	3 491
Benzie -----	14	25.6	246	1.5	30	16.6	49	26.8	56	10.7	74
Berrien -----	141	17.9	965	22.2	316	11.6	3 065	10.9	746	5.1	2 846
Branch -----	297	10.7	6 457	6.2	453	7.5	7 205	6.7	763	3.8	3 178
Calhoun -----	364	9.9	3 822	13.1	597	6.3	5 207	12.9	736	4.3	2 642
Cass -----	271	9.2	2 799	8.0	392	6.5	21 333	3.4	547	3.1	2 179
Charlevoix -----	93	15.5	265	38.4	127	10.9	327	12.3	61	22.5	80
Cheboygan -----	37	15.2	170	21.3	70	8.5	1 003	6.3	68	10.1	64
Chippewa -----	102	19.2	965	32.6	193	11.8	1 058	16.0	125	14.7	36
Clare -----	121	14.7	1 145	20.3	177	9.9	1 539	10.9	150	10.2	197
Clinton -----	381	8.3	4 928	8.3	503	6.6	9 234	3.9	951	2.7	3 027
Crawford -----	8	7.9	20	12.7	14	6.6	26	10.3	7	11.2	2
Delta -----	69	23.6	235	12.3	160	10.6	1 165	10.8	136	11.3	259
Dickinson -----	24	13.5	38	25.0	53	8.2	429	13.2	51	7.8	158
Eaton -----	260	11.5	2 544	12.3	382	8.8	3 475	9.6	762	3.8	2 863
Emmet -----	59	25.1	648	52.8	113	13.4	426	14.9	106	14.0	101
Genesee -----	214	11.3	4 738	4.4	340	8.2	2 501	6.9	516	5.3	1 805
Gladwin -----	159	12.4	308	17.9	242	9.3	1 518	16.4	193	9.4	187
Gogebic -----	17	7.6	34	13.2	32	5.7	71	8.5	9	9.7	4
Grand Traverse -----	86	21.0	304	24.2	140	16.1	472	15.9	208	10.9	453
Gratiot -----	270	12.0	3 472	5.1	364	9.7	6 219	4.1	747	2.7	4 107
Hillsdale -----	328	10.6	3 943	8.2	480	7.8	6 153	5.1	791	3.0	3 868
Houghton -----	36	12.4	131	23.4	72	4.8	391	21.3	51	11.7	52
Huron -----	482	7.7	36 190	1.7	635	6.3	19 657	1.5	1 125	2.9	6 757
Ingham -----	286	10.7	2 684	7.5	440	6.7	4 870	3.3	583	4.6	2 210
Ionia -----	391	9.1	3 619	9.3	511	7.5	13 085	3.8	750	3.5	2 917
Iosco -----	88	20.9	(D)	(D)	98	13.7	1 607	3.3	143	10.8	231
Iron -----	23	5.6	82	5.7	53	3.7	209	5.3	31	4.5	74
Isabella -----	262	11.9	4 546	15.7	477	6.3	7 091	7.2	579	5.3	1 797
Jackson -----	326	9.7	7 792	8.1	510	7.1	3 873	3.4	655	4.4	2 023
Kalamazoo -----	253	10.7	5 860	6.2	318	8.6	10 101	1.8	490	4.9	3 943
Kalkaska -----	30	5.6	99	9.6	44	4.7	270	6.0	50	4.3	151
Kent -----	373	8.7	2 986	6.1	581	5.9	5 557	5.5	729	4.2	6 872
Keweenaw -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Lake -----	29	17.9	74	15.8	64	10.5	261	11.1	53	12.4	33
Lapeer -----	323	10.3	4 184	11.7	586	6.6	3 713	6.2	655	4.9	2 647
Leelanau -----	54	28.3	1 158	6.4	80	21.9	368	8.3	157	12.7	406
Lenawee -----	333	9.2	5 829	2.1	483	7.4	5 366	6.1	992	2.6	5 039
Livingston -----	180	15.1	1 873	6.2	309	8.2	2 511	20.7	413	6.9	1 148
Luce -----	13	5.8	300	5.7	18	5.7	73	6.9	15	6.3	17
Mackinac -----	28	6.1	155	6.0	50	4.5	667	4.0	31	5.8	46
Macomb -----	81	17.6	1 778	2.4	160	11.0	1 310	8.0	363	4.6	1 712
Manistee -----	72	19.5	210	16.3	134	10.1	225	24.3	136	11.6	313
Marquette -----	21	15.4	47	24.3	41	8.3	291	3.3	23	13.9	23
Mason -----	77	22.8	1 348	3.3	168	12.4	1 776	9.1	257	5.0	732
Mecosta -----	259	10.2	2 581	12.4	365	7.5	3 533	8.2	299	8.8	633
Menominee -----	100	17.8	361	11.0	224	7.4	3 743	7.4	202	8.4	395
Midland -----	120	14.7	2 487	1.2	183	9.0	1 397	9.7	286	7.3	1 073
Missaukee -----	152	10.2	1 771	4.9	232	6.6	6 595	4.4	152	9.3	469
Monroe -----	213	14.7	2 976	8.2	277	13.0	1 659	6.8	907	3.3	4 811
Montcalm -----	325	9.0	1 938	9.9	443	7.3	3 464	5.9	587	5.0	4 196
Montmorency -----	33	12.6	228	44.9	62	9.4	325	12.0	68	7.3	85
Muskegon -----	143	15.7	2 932	6.7	251	7.1	1 988	12.1	229	7.7	930
Newaygo -----	257	10.8	2 829	6.4	398	7.1	6 850	3.7	450	6.0	1 140
Oakland -----	124	15.8	356	20.3	232	10.0	1 032	29.8	167	12.4	1 093
Oceana -----	139	15.1	1 171	7.5	207	11.5	2 479	4.7	395	6.5	1 307

See footnotes at end of table.

C-16 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ogemaw -----	72	26.7	1 189	22.2	150	13.9	2 584	9.2	154	13.3	423	7.5
Ontonagon -----	24	16.8	134	24.0	59	6.2	269	15.7	39	9.4	40	13.1
Oscoda -----	186	12.0	1 115	17.4	271	6.9	5 029	16.7	193	10.5	342	3.2
Otsego -----	41	5.8	189	9.4	59	5.0	433	5.8	44	5.6	40	6.7
Otsego -----	35	15.5	332	9.7	69	10.1	331	7.5	74	6.6	107	7.7
Ottawa -----	510	7.8	17 208	2.6	671	6.0	51 970	1.2	896	4.0	10 168	1.4
Presque Isle -----	95	20.5	653	38.5	146	13.0	961	18.2	209	6.8	500	9.5
Roscommon -----	11	7.3	13	11.3	15	6.3	(D)	(D)	7	11.0	8	27.8
Saginaw -----	216	12.8	1 618	8.1	308	10.1	1 932	7.9	1 036	2.5	4 620	3.1
St. Clair -----	285	9.9	2 264	6.8	380	8.3	2 659	5.1	702	4.2	2 255	4.9
St. Joseph -----	352	9.9	2 315	12.2	507	6.1	6 514	7.4	641	4.3	2 906	4.7
Sanilac -----	465	7.5	4 537	6.3	713	5.1	10 383	3.1	1 151	3.1	6 112	3.4
Schoolcraft -----	17	7.3	129	16.6	33	5.4	183	5.7	21	6.8	70	5.0
Shiawassee -----	252	12.3	1 198	12.7	358	9.9	2 839	9.8	850	3.2	2 475	4.3
Tuscola -----	319	10.8	3 615	38.5	448	8.6	5 826	7.4	904	3.1	4 998	3.6
Van Buren -----	197	14.4	1 715	12.0	380	9.1	5 786	3.4	541	6.7	3 933	3.2
Washtenaw -----	323	9.5	4 657	11.0	605	5.6	5 913	7.6	660	4.1	2 578	3.0
Wayne -----	47	39.1	232	56.7	113	21.8	576	49.3	136	16.9	1 111	1.7
Wexford -----	73	8.5	338	26.3	101	6.0	688	14.3	62	9.2	120	8.0
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	33 548	.9	218 185	.9	33 010	.9	149 282	.8	44 242	.7	141 653	.6
Alcona -----	103	15.7	154	16.7	90	16.9	87	12.6	201	3.4	175	7.5
Alger -----	26	6.2	47	9.8	39	5.2	28	8.5	56	4.4	80	4.8
Allegan -----	1 072	3.0	6 733	3.8	1 092	2.8	4 490	4.4	1 392	1.6	5 754	2.2
Alpena -----	268	9.1	688	10.6	164	13.8	339	5.9	368	2.8	462	5.1
Antrim -----	160	8.8	688	4.9	181	6.9	964	7.5	220	4.9	601	4.8
Arenac -----	228	7.2	1 538	6.1	206	8.1	796	5.4	259	3.9	822	4.8
Baraga -----	27	5.6	23	8.4	15	7.2	(D)	(D)	52	3.8	68	7.1
Barry -----	452	6.7	2 289	6.7	546	5.6	1 410	5.5	776	2.4	1 807	3.7
Bay -----	689	2.3	8 413	5.4	634	3.8	4 045	4.0	773	1.6	3 301	4.4
Benzie -----	79	6.5	188	7.7	93	5.3	569	6.6	102	5.1	234	5.1
Berrien -----	1 107	2.5	3 728	3.5	1 125	2.7	5 837	3.6	1 276	1.0	3 511	4.0
Branch -----	717	4.4	4 374	5.3	737	4.0	2 886	4.3	893	2.2	3 463	4.3
Calhoun -----	767	4.4	4 064	5.5	778	4.1	2 967	5.6	1 044	1.6	2 828	3.7
Cass -----	570	4.0	3 779	3.8	575	3.3	2 874	3.5	721	1.4	2 710	3.6
Charlevoix -----	84	19.2	194	7.8	99	14.7	112	9.7	180	4.9	206	7.6
Cheboygan -----	107	6.3	200	7.4	84	8.8	82	10.8	157	3.1	235	4.4
Chippewa -----	156	11.9	423	23.3	118	18.0	81	37.1	332	.9	396	10.1
Clare -----	179	8.6	454	15.5	160	8.2	169	15.3	284	2.7	393	5.8
Clinton -----	976	2.9	5 908	3.8	971	2.9	3 766	4.4	1 186	1.2	3 109	3.3
Crawford -----	9	7.0	4	7.9	8	9.8	(D)	(D)	17	5.4	14	8.2
Delta -----	157	9.9	594	10.5	160	9.8	341	21.0	234	1.0	644	7.2
Dickinson -----	55	7.4	319	8.4	44	9.7	192	13.5	94	2.5	221	8.3
Eaton -----	830	3.3	4 923	4.9	747	4.2	2 957	5.4	1 040	1.3	2 547	3.2
Emmet -----	127	11.0	449	12.0	102	13.8	142	12.6	174	4.6	301	9.4
Genesee -----	501	4.7	2 755	5.5	586	4.4	2 087	5.0	740	2.3	1 505	3.9
Gladwin -----	231	9.4	423	11.7	179	12.4	227	17.4	370	3.7	406	8.5
Gogebic -----	17	7.3	9	10.1	14	7.7	10	13.5	48	4.5	42	4.4
Grand Traverse -----	311	5.9	777	5.2	324	5.8	1 398	8.5	385	2.7	673	5.4
Gratiot -----	702	4.2	7 590	4.0	712	4.0	4 519	5.3	885	1.4	4 079	5.5
Hillsdale -----	784	3.0	5 420	5.3	749	3.8	3 238	6.6	982	1.8	2 923	4.0
Houghton -----	71	8.4	58	15.3	87	6.6	35	12.1	138	1.9	131	7.5
Huron -----	1 161	2.5	15 075	2.9	1 081	3.3	6 187	4.3	1 242	1.9	7 055	2.4
Ingham -----	625	4.0	4 900	17.1	576	4.7	2 639	5.1	807	1.4	2 377	3.3
Ionia -----	742	3.6	6 241	5.1	714	4.0	3 617	8.2	955	1.5	3 280	3.5
Iosco -----	141	11.8	321	27.2	124	15.8	112	10.1	191	5.6	324	16.9
Iron -----	39	4.0	136	4.1	19	6.3	74	5.3	69	3.4	127	3.9
Isabella -----	635	4.5	3 416	6.1	522	6.0	1 649	6.6	822	2.2	2 110	5.1
Jackson -----	672	4.6	3 684	3.7	729	4.0	2 356	4.1	982	1.7	2 408	3.4
Kalamazoo -----	515	5.1	4 124	7.1	520	5.2	2 344	3.8	697	2.4	4 218	2.6
Kalkaska -----	48	4.5	267	2.2	44	4.5	137	1.5	67	3.9	122	3.7
Kent -----	842	3.2	4 071	3.9	858	3.3	5 848	4.0	1 102	1.7	5 042	1.4
Keweenaw -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)
Lake -----	40	10.5	61	14.6	46	14.5	23	11.6	98	3.6	92	9.3
Lapeer -----	703	4.5	4 294	4.5	698	5.3	2 773	4.7	1 056	1.7	2 559	3.0
Leelanau -----	292	5.8	1 041	4.7	320	5.6	2 375	4.0	362	2.5	864	4.6
Lenawee -----	991	2.5	8 787	2.9	1 008	2.8	6 324	3.2	1 242	1.2	4 314	3.2
Livingston -----	402	6.9	1 996	7.8	387	7.7	1 135	8.3	625	2.6	1 516	5.4
Luce -----	15	5.8	32	10.3	20	4.3	14	8.2	31	3.9	47	4.8
Mackinac -----	36	5.2	88	7.5	39	5.0	(D)	(D)	70	3.8	150	3.9

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-17

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Macomb -----	418	2.9	2 129	7.0	473	3.5	1 468	7.2	523	2.1	2 467	6.3
Manistee -----	179	7.3	473	7.0	181	6.8	705	3.1	238	3.0	470	7.2
Marquette -----	37	10.6	84	11.1	41	9.5	29	7.0	81	3.1	132	5.0
Mason -----	258	5.6	1 122	12.0	303	6.4	1 437	5.7	381	3.5	968	6.2
Mecosta -----	367	7.7	1 248	7.9	332	8.7	715	9.0	517	3.8	968	5.4
Menominee -----	211	9.3	851	7.9	186	10.4	278	10.7	283	3.2	779	6.3
Midland -----	282	7.3	1 870	10.2	281	7.3	1 296	9.7	435	1.5	1 049	5.5
Missaukee -----	168	9.7	1 037	4.7	152	10.0	393	4.5	253	4.3	826	4.7
Monroe -----	897	4.0	6 804	4.2	936	3.2	4 726	3.5	1 108	1.1	4 016	2.6
Montcalm -----	627	4.3	6 103	5.1	660	4.1	4 847	2.5	864	1.6	3 119	2.5
Montmorency -----	82	6.2	262	18.9	35	15.4	78	33.5	105	3.6	209	16.5
Muskegon -----	252	7.0	1 432	5.5	258	7.7	1 701	6.3	344	3.2	1 360	3.6
Newaygo -----	520	4.3	2 047	4.6	448	6.2	1 700	4.9	640	2.2	1 616	3.0
Oakland -----	216	9.3	664	8.7	251	8.6	575	3.0	469	3.1	1 346	4.9
Oceana -----	483	3.7	2 464	4.5	484	4.1	3 234	2.2	561	2.4	1 570	3.3
Ogemaw -----	165	12.9	905	9.7	132	16.5	310	8.8	251	3.4	711	4.6
Ontonagon -----	36	13.7	65	8.7	36	12.5	48	16.1	89	3.5	106	7.4
Osceola -----	248	9.0	715	6.2	237	9.7	334	9.2	409	3.9	770	7.3
Oscoda -----	47	5.5	103	6.5	41	5.6	57	6.9	67	4.7	94	6.3
Otsego -----	85	7.0	193	8.0	62	9.4	96	8.2	116	4.2	172	6.0
Ottawa -----	1 093	3.1	4 618	4.5	1 121	3.0	4 471	4.0	1 324	1.4	6 936	2.1
Presque Isle -----	231	6.5	880	9.7	175	10.7	753	18.8	270	2.4	778	8.8
Roscommon -----	17	6.6	9	8.3	16	5.6	12	18.4	34	4.2	(D)	(D)
Saginaw -----	1 095	2.4	9 021	2.6	1 090	2.6	5 795	3.3	1 255	1.1	4 112	3.8
St. Clair -----	716	3.6	3 630	6.9	686	4.7	2 373	5.8	977	1.2	2 137	3.5
St. Joseph -----	592	5.0	6 757	4.5	589	5.4	4 776	7.2	825	1.7	3 082	4.6
Sanilac -----	1 167	2.8	12 207	3.3	1 061	3.5	5 929	3.6	1 355	1.7	5 711	2.2
Schoolcraft -----	24	6.1	78	4.8	33	4.8	40	6.7	48	4.4	77	4.9
Shiawassee -----	867	3.5	5 227	6.1	876	3.4	3 213	4.7	1 050	1.6	2 831	4.8
Tuscola -----	883	3.3	10 199	3.8	793	3.7	5 074	3.6	1 078	1.4	4 741	3.6
Van Buren -----	899	3.5	4 333	5.2	986	2.9	5 743	3.5	1 092	2.0	3 664	3.4
Washtenaw -----	682	4.9	4 267	4.9	693	4.9	2 400	5.2	968	2.4	2 955	3.1
Wayne -----	173	13.9	408	4.8	149	13.4	246	5.2	282	4.9	1 424	2.7
Wexford -----	70	7.7	241	10.0	88	7.3	115	7.5	151	3.2	173	5.6
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	34 961	.8	49 096	.7	15 908	1.4	318 276	.4	4 052	3.0	22 488	1.8
Alcona -----	138	11.8	99	18.6	67	17.4	147	11.0	7	77.3	3	33.8
Alger -----	44	5.0	52	4.0	26	6.0	237	1.5	6	14.4	5	19.9
Allegan -----	1 203	2.8	2 857	3.2	570	6.3	18 802	1.4	153	15.5	661	11.8
Alpena -----	268	8.8	173	6.1	73	22.5	323	1.4	27	40.5	(D)	(D)
Antrim -----	224	4.1	213	8.3	80	14.3	1 690	10.5	30	27.0	122	2.5
Arenac -----	210	9.0	267	9.2	114	16.4	994	7.9	25	32.4	309	5.6
Baraga -----	42	4.3	31	9.3	13	8.2	56	21.8	3	11.4	(D)	(D)
Barry -----	660	4.2	691	4.8	270	10.4	2 532	4.8	49	25.5	214	6.5
Bay -----	624	4.4	594	5.0	312	9.4	4 363	4.5	94	20.6	670	9.8
Benzie -----	94	4.9	76	8.3	44	10.3	923	9.1	18	20.1	80	29.3
Berrien -----	914	3.9	938	3.8	557	7.0	13 302	2.1	166	15.4	932	13.3
Branch -----	679	4.1	893	2.8	281	10.4	5 210	2.1	60	26.6	491	10.0
Calhoun -----	718	4.8	768	5.4	338	9.7	5 633	5.6	102	22.2	224	30.6
Cass -----	584	4.0	830	2.3	345	7.9	4 800	6.3	51	22.9	223	2.6
Charlevoix -----	162	7.7	85	6.7	64	22.7	399	7.1	9	61.8	16	21.4
Cheboygan -----	119	5.0	121	6.0	40	11.3	483	3.9	15	23.0	43	20.7
Chippewa -----	258	7.8	166	21.1	122	14.9	393	15.9	12	60.0	19	30.2
Clare -----	177	9.6	185	6.9	84	19.6	537	3.2	6	3.8	121	2.9
Clinton -----	887	3.8	1 207	2.9	348	8.5	6 273	3.6	81	22.0	145	15.1
Crawford -----	15	6.2	3	8.2	5	8.8	1	9.1	2	22.0	(D)	(D)
Delta -----	210	5.7	233	7.2	124	11.3	659	13.9	32	31.6	32	31.6
Dickinson -----	81	4.0	92	5.5	53	6.4	510	9.9	9	23.8	28	8.4
Eaton -----	778	3.7	632	4.1	280	9.8	2 591	2.6	54	28.5	177	24.9
Emmet -----	140	8.4	93	9.6	62	21.3	345	4.1	6	6.1	29	.3
Genesee -----	588	4.4	461	4.2	260	10.6	2 569	2.0	75	22.7	251	16.8
Gladwin -----	303	5.8	169	14.1	94	20.5	275	22.8	12	49.8	33	2.5
Gogebic -----	36	5.1	18	7.2	20	6.8	28	8.7	5	11.4	4	10.9
Grand Traverse -----	298	6.6	199	5.8	165	10.9	2 237	10.1	96	18.4	280	14.9
Gratiot -----	652	4.9	980	3.6	295	9.9	4 313	1.8	90	17.6	590	3.7
Hillsdale -----	709	4.7	827	3.2	308	10.7	3 921	1.8	75	25.3	145	50.1
Houghton -----	104	5.3	64	9.6	39	11.2	324	4.5	22	18.5	40	12.7
Huron -----	1 086	3.3	2 028	4.5	522	6.6	8 282	3.6	207	14.0	803	12.7
Ingham -----	619	4.1	1 002	2.6	289	9.3	3 992	2.8	59	24.6	235	29.1
Ionia -----	797	4.0	1 336	4.0	318	9.7	7 281	4.2	67	23.4	966	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-18 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Iosco -----	161	7.3	124	15.7	71	25.8	450	18.9	14	88.8	(D)	(D)
Iron -----	58	3.4	43	3.8	26	5.4	254	2.8	1	26.1	(D)	(D)
Isabella -----	598	5.5	814	5.6	316	10.1	3 649	6.7	34	30.6	131	4.5
Jackson -----	717	4.3	729	2.5	301	11.5	3 368	3.7	69	23.9	121	7.6
Kalamazoo -----	579	4.3	1 414	1.7	282	9.3	13 786	.4	78	21.9	387	12.9
Kalkaska -----	57	4.3	74	4.0	23	6.5	647	.3	3	14.0	(D)	(D)
Kent -----	914	3.0	1 706	2.3	473	6.5	18 785	1.8	114	13.9	1 537	11.5
Keweenaw -----	3	—	(Z)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	60	8.5	47	6.2	25	19.8	28	7.8	4	76.4	(Z)	76.4
Lapeer -----	859	3.7	1 076	5.4	382	9.1	5 183	2.8	70	28.0	165	18.1
Leelanau -----	342	3.5	286	5.3	223	9.0	3 719	5.3	69	25.7	406	33.8
Lenawee -----	955	3.5	1 014	4.2	382	8.0	5 014	4.8	71	17.3	408	8.9
Livingston -----	486	4.9	648	9.2	178	11.1	3 774	.6	57	27.7	53	17.4
Luce -----	22	4.9	13	6.9	16	5.2	43	5.2	2	18.0	(D)	(D)
Mackinac -----	57	4.2	75	4.5	23	6.3	224	2.7	1	31.3	(D)	(D)
Macomb -----	398	5.0	775	3.7	181	9.5	6 554	2.0	34	28.9	204	13.0
Manistee -----	174	7.0	223	5.7	81	15.8	1 298	.9	13	32.5	154	19.3
Marquette -----	55	6.5	50	5.8	28	13.2	106	12.0	7	33.4	21	11.2
Mason -----	260	7.3	341	4.6	152	12.3	2 675	2.9	37	31.1	246	5.7
Mecosta -----	437	5.6	497	6.4	224	11.9	1 319	5.4	52	25.0	136	9.4
Menominee -----	265	4.5	458	6.9	71	18.1	1 200	2.2	24	35.4	125	2.8
Midland -----	306	6.4	231	9.3	117	16.8	1 247	3.0	39	27.9	70	17.1
Missaukee -----	245	4.5	545	5.4	100	12.6	1 773	.8	24	2.0	184	.8
Monroe -----	710	5.4	858	4.0	235	12.2	6 269	1.4	90	18.6	237	9.0
Montcalm -----	659	4.6	1 281	2.6	286	8.6	5 997	4.2	53	25.2	244	31.4
Montmorency -----	96	4.3	76	10.4	32	15.6	207	10.6	18	19.9	17	16.7
Muskegon -----	278	7.9	570	6.0	109	15.9	5 335	.6	33	38.9	160	24.3
Newaygo -----	579	3.6	926	3.8	218	9.9	3 853	4.6	38	25.8	379	27.7
Oakland -----	321	7.3	591	4.3	193	12.2	8 737	1.9	60	21.2	693	.9
Oceana -----	430	5.6	672	14.6	338	6.3	9 548	1.1	107	18.0	891	13.1
Ogemaw -----	196	9.1	333	5.3	71	23.3	1 166	13.6	9	5.0	27	1.5
Ontonagon -----	73	6.3	77	10.1	41	11.4	129	4.5	4	27.6	2	20.5
Osceola -----	326	5.4	430	5.8	153	14.4	1 105	2.1	33	23.5	139	10.3
Oscoda -----	67	4.7	40	6.4	31	6.2	127	7.0	2	29.7	(D)	(D)
Otsego -----	117	3.9	77	4.0	41	13.9	230	7.1	6	30.5	6	17.5
Ottawa -----	1 097	3.0	3 168	1.9	639	5.9	41 739	.9	146	13.8	3 270	.5
Presque Isle -----	238	5.7	348	7.9	148	12.9	1 527	18.3	9	60.0	31	31.5
Roscommon -----	23	5.7	7	6.2	8	9.0	14	26.7	1	36.9	(D)	(D)
Saginaw -----	985	3.2	771	4.6	435	6.9	4 587	4.4	138	15.3	254	8.1
St. Clair -----	737	4.5	660	6.9	217	13.0	2 573	2.8	37	27.5	62	15.2
St. Joseph -----	596	5.7	1 016	3.4	253	10.9	4 147	5.1	63	25.3	404	12.6
Sanilac -----	1 204	2.9	2 292	3.2	512	6.7	7 057	2.7	140	15.1	612	3.9
Schoolcraft -----	39	5.0	36	3.4	18	6.6	220	3.4	4	11.1	(D)	(D)
Shiawassee -----	866	3.7	727	6.8	274	11.7	1 569	6.8	67	28.2	87	19.6
Tuscola -----	907	2.8	1 440	5.5	411	8.1	6 403	4.3	115	20.2	488	6.7
Van Buren -----	822	4.5	1 325	2.3	553	6.6	15 207	2.6	192	13.3	1 664	5.7
Washtenaw -----	829	3.7	1 215	4.3	295	10.0	5 324	2.1	110	20.5	163	23.4
Wayne -----	217	9.6	499	7.8	93	17.5	5 459	.3	21	43.2	117	2.2
Wexford -----	120	4.7	94	10.1	47	11.4	225	8.5	14	21.1	13	11.2
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	40 611	.7	189 820	.8	15 413	1.5	40 995	1.6	21 399	1.2	181 417	.9
Alcona -----	165	7.3	284	18.4	37	31.9	54	9.4	78	19.7	359	19.2
Alger -----	46	4.9	88	5.1	11	9.8	5	12.4	23	6.6	113	7.9
Allegan -----	1 336	1.8	6 809	2.3	621	6.2	1 366	6.5	776	5.0	7 770	3.0
Alpena -----	336	5.0	783	6.5	93	22.3	(D)	(D)	136	14.9	706	11.0
Antrim -----	234	3.3	806	4.6	42	21.4	114	14.6	98	12.6	663	8.1
Arenac -----	246	5.5	1 099	7.0	117	17.6	290	22.1	128	13.8	1 416	16.6
Baraga -----	48	3.9	85	6.7	13	7.6	6	11.5	21	5.8	48	10.3
Barry -----	741	2.7	2 700	7.0	281	10.7	601	10.2	408	8.1	3 127	7.5
Bay -----	729	2.2	4 607	5.3	301	10.8	766	8.5	391	7.6	3 510	6.9
Benzie -----	100	4.3	380	6.7	40	10.9	145	26.2	46	9.0	314	6.7
Berrien -----	1 182	2.0	4 296	3.4	528	6.9	1 447	5.3	449	8.1	3 453	5.4
Branch -----	756	3.5	4 204	4.4	329	10.2	859	9.1	485	7.5	3 557	8.3
Calhoun -----	910	3.1	3 540	7.9	382	9.3	742	11.3	458	8.1	2 897	7.4
Cass -----	657	2.7	3 812	3.5	298	9.9	816	11.0	392	6.8	5 031	4.7
Charlevoix -----	168	7.0	295	7.9	35	30.4	49	7.4	65	16.5	329	9.6
Cheboygan -----	138	4.4	405	8.9	37	15.2	28	6.9	63	10.7	276	6.8
Chippewa -----	299	4.5	840	11.6	74	25.9	36	36.5	130	17.0	404	24.3
Clare -----	211	8.0	694	8.6	72	22.3	80	8.6	159	10.8	667	7.4
Clinton -----	1 062	2.4	5 311	4.9	508	6.7	1 388	16.9	517	6.9	3 995	7.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-19

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Crawford -----	16	5.8	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	9	10.3	17	19.9
Delta -----	212	5.4	763	9.1	81	20.9	51	18.5	146	12.1	680	13.3
Dickinson -----	86	3.2	300	10.0	19	19.5	12	28.3	43	9.4	232	9.7
Eaton -----	957	2.4	3 607	4.4	363	9.0	727	11.3	544	6.2	3 116	7.2
Emmet -----	167	5.8	488	16.2	24	37.6	20	18.0	56	21.6	256	18.6
Genesee -----	678	3.0	2 589	6.1	191	13.1	419	12.1	304	9.4	1 890	6.8
Gladwin -----	345	4.9	622	12.9	147	14.7	149	18.9	165	13.2	498	14.7
Gogebic -----	47	4.6	77	7.7	11	8.9	4	4.1	15	8.4	17	11.3
Grand Traverse -----	296	6.6	1 040	8.0	149	14.5	234	20.5	152	13.6	991	7.4
Gratiot -----	835	1.6	5 281	4.8	290	10.1	921	8.6	528	6.9	5 463	4.7
Hillsdale -----	895	3.1	3 643	3.6	406	8.5	810	10.1	526	6.7	4 002	6.8
Houghton -----	118	4.5	153	8.5	32	13.1	10	10.3	46	11.4	122	17.9
Huron -----	1 299	1.4	10 350	2.4	535	7.2	2 041	7.1	813	4.6	11 518	2.6
Ingham -----	712	3.0	3 278	4.1	257	11.0	863	18.7	350	7.8	3 328	7.7
Ionia -----	915	2.3	5 131	5.1	403	9.6	868	8.8	475	7.5	4 780	5.8
Iosco -----	189	5.6	686	17.4	47	38.8	143	3.3	93	18.4	656	6.7
Iron -----	67	3.5	187	3.6	10	8.5	(D)	(D)	24	4.9	106	6.0
Isabella -----	777	2.7	3 495	9.3	331	10.1	621	7.5	451	6.9	3 310	8.0
Jackson -----	887	3.0	3 421	4.7	367	10.0	747	28.1	366	10.0	2 522	6.3
Kalamazoo -----	638	3.5	4 139	5.4	239	11.2	880	5.7	337	8.7	3 849	6.9
Kalkaska -----	70	3.8	180	3.8	10	9.1	(D)	(D)	25	6.5	107	7.1
Kent -----	1 023	2.4	5 285	2.3	292	9.7	887	4.5	508	6.6	5 339	6.5
Keweenaw -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	85	4.9	121	8.6	11	20.9	5	4.5	34	14.5	92	14.6
Lapeer -----	954	3.4	3 466	4.4	279	12.2	334	10.5	521	6.8	3 151	6.9
Leelanau -----	333	4.4	1 593	8.0	143	11.9	694	22.0	193	11.6	1 269	5.8
Lenawee -----	1 140	2.0	5 359	3.2	540	6.5	2 096	8.7	667	5.1	6 469	3.4
Livingston -----	553	4.2	2 003	5.4	156	15.3	286	7.6	264	10.8	1 838	6.8
Luce -----	28	4.2	50	5.3	6	8.4	(D)	(D)	10	6.9	77	3.7
Mackinac -----	60	4.0	162	4.5	13	8.0	56	3.8	34	5.2	313	4.2
Macomb -----	463	4.0	2 452	5.1	161	12.7	278	8.4	158	12.3	912	7.3
Manistee -----	201	5.8	636	8.0	63	24.4	78	8.1	83	11.3	676	5.7
Marquette -----	70	5.3	165	3.9	9	24.5	38	36.0	30	12.5	163	8.5
Mason -----	328	5.9	1 446	9.4	126	15.9	278	30.5	157	11.3	1 032	5.4
Mecosta -----	488	3.9	1 538	5.0	155	15.9	223	11.9	239	10.6	1 705	7.3
Menominee -----	265	4.7	1 102	8.3	100	17.5	162	23.3	174	10.7	1 383	15.1
Midland -----	329	5.7	1 827	7.7	146	15.9	312	7.6	162	13.7	1 366	8.0
Missaukee -----	248	4.5	1 578	5.8	80	14.8	162	4.7	166	9.3	1 806	9.1
Monroe -----	1 008	2.7	4 244	3.5	418	10.1	1 100	7.5	512	7.9	4 561	5.6
Montcalm -----	796	2.5	4 457	3.0	252	9.1	1 404	8.5	481	6.4	4 017	4.6
Montmorency -----	103	4.3	359	11.7	48	12.3	74	14.0	43	11.3	190	17.3
Muskegon -----	342	3.8	1 734	6.3	69	22.0	223	17.6	182	13.4	1 607	12.9
Newaygo -----	592	3.3	2 564	4.6	197	12.1	371	14.5	344	8.0	2 224	10.0
Oakland -----	425	4.8	1 407	4.4	93	20.0	132	15.0	154	14.0	978	11.2
Oceana -----	503	4.0	2 428	3.7	255	9.1	647	6.8	322	7.0	2 556	4.7
Ogemaw -----	177	8.4	1 234	3.6	21	4.5	77	9.4	86	19.3	620	8.6
Ontonagon -----	79	4.2	137	11.6	18	21.3	7	18.4	36	11.5	106	17.8
Osceola -----	368	4.4	1 363	6.1	120	17.2	122	16.2	214	10.8	1 079	7.0
Oscola -----	67	4.6	138	6.0	16	8.8	(D)	(D)	35	6.6	147	6.8
Ossego -----	116	4.1	254	6.4	10	31.2	4	28.0	35	13.0	221	11.1
Ottawa -----	1 242	2.1	7 798	3.4	525	7.4	2 022	2.3	623	6.6	8 272	2.7
Presque Isle -----	263	3.0	844	12.0	84	21.1	142	30.9	96	18.0	726	16.2
Roscommon -----	24	5.2	28	9.2	5	14.1	3	27.5	13	8.0	20	11.9
Saginaw -----	1 137	2.2	5 722	5.7	395	8.8	1 073	8.2	606	5.9	5 187	6.1
St. Clair -----	880	2.6	2 774	5.6	322	11.2	775	10.0	470	7.3	2 450	8.3
St. Joseph -----	745	3.3	3 971	4.1	356	8.5	1 840	6.9	495	7.0	5 876	5.1
Sanilac -----	1 281	2.3	8 223	3.6	587	6.9	1 448	8.0	808	4.6	7 777	4.0
Schoolcraft -----	41	4.7	97	6.4	14	8.3	(D)	(D)	21	6.9	76	8.6
Shiawassee -----	1 039	1.8	4 293	4.6	322	11.2	521	13.1	514	7.6	3 123	6.1
Tuscola -----	998	2.4	6 470	4.2	388	8.9	1 599	8.1	554	6.0	7 467	5.3
Van Buren -----	951	3.4	4 960	3.8	398	9.2	2 339	6.8	487	7.9	4 409	5.0
Washtenaw -----	927	2.7	3 747	3.8	432	7.9	639	9.5	435	7.4	3 299	5.9
Wayne -----	233	8.8	999	4.6	30	40.8	66	17.9	82	20.3	502	18.6
Wexford -----	134	4.3	298	7.2	25	17.8	11	25.3	60	10.0	238	12.5
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	14 665	1.5	132 101	1.2	43 651	.7	170 743	.8	42 218	.7	292 492	.6
Alcona -----	43	28.6	78	30.8	208	1.3	358	7.9	194	3.8	393	5.2
Alger -----	15	7.3	21	10.0	53	4.6	117	6.4	54	4.5	266	6.7
Allegan -----	412	6.5	4 417	3.9	1 405	1.3	5 437	2.6	1 373	1.6	15 864	1.5
Alpena -----	98	21.4	291	13.4	360	3.5	677	8.1	304	6.5	985	4.0

See footnotes at end of table.

C-20 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Antrim -----	61	19.1	127	9.9	238	2.3	748	6.6	213	5.3	1 244	10.1
Arenac -----	118	16.5	687	10.9	267	2.8	1 086	11.7	253	4.7	1 528	3.9
Baraga -----	18	6.6	10	9.4	56	3.6	89	4.6	48	3.9	90	6.9
Barry -----	247	11.3	1 449	15.8	771	2.9	2 622	4.3	731	2.9	3 942	8.7
Bay -----	379	7.2	5 708	7.0	707	2.8	3 072	5.4	738	2.1	5 122	9.0
Benzie -----	29	14.0	62	9.1	119	2.2	292	5.3	113	3.0	521	10.0
Berrien -----	383	8.6	2 052	5.7	1 266	1.2	3 775	3.1	1 212	1.6	9 021	1.7
Branch -----	304	9.5	3 290	6.0	876	2.3	3 607	4.5	840	2.6	5 622	4.0
Calhoun -----	322	9.8	1 969	9.8	1 013	2.0	3 763	4.1	944	2.8	5 862	3.3
Cass -----	297	8.1	2 569	6.2	664	2.9	3 004	4.0	699	2.1	5 106	2.2
Charlevoix -----	32	33.4	81	13.5	195	1.2	411	12.3	170	6.4	395	8.7
Chippewa -----	42	12.9	76	11.6	167	2.1	311	4.3	146	3.9	589	5.7
Clare -----	77	22.3	98	19.7	333	.9	654	7.3	279	5.9	953	7.4
Clinton -----	72	21.6	154	8.9	262	3.5	585	6.4	237	5.1	1 011	7.3
Clinton -----	308	9.4	2 386	5.8	1 143	1.6	4 748	3.1	1 108	1.9	6 522	2.6
Crawford -----	5	12.7	4	13.6	17	5.4	25	5.4	17	5.4	15	6.6
Delta -----	86	16.8	138	9.1	231	1.0	590	5.5	220	4.6	876	8.7
Dickinson -----	42	10.2	141	4.8	94	2.5	274	4.6	96	2.2	438	9.7
Eaton -----	337	9.0	2 663	6.7	981	2.3	3 611	4.6	993	2.0	3 562	4.6
Emmet -----	73	16.9	104	9.8	181	3.5	390	9.5	161	6.7	446	14.2
Genesee -----	223	9.8	1 656	11.5	762	1.5	2 983	3.9	679	2.8	2 372	3.1
Gladwin -----	72	25.1	180	11.0	379	3.1	848	6.8	369	3.6	916	22.3
Gogebic -----	5	15.7	3	25.5	47	4.5	53	5.5	42	4.9	56	9.7
Grand Traverse -----	77	21.9	188	8.5	408	.8	1 569	6.3	359	4.5	1 459	7.4
Gratiot -----	325	8.6	3 377	7.1	841	2.7	3 360	4.8	853	1.9	5 798	3.5
Hillsdale -----	320	9.6	3 057	5.4	977	1.8	3 145	5.0	953	2.1	5 847	3.6
Houghton -----	16	19.6	22	4.6	135	1.9	183	5.3	117	4.6	223	22.3
Huron -----	542	6.6	9 798	4.5	1 184	2.8	6 930	3.2	1 251	2.1	11 296	2.0
Ingham -----	282	9.9	2 361	4.0	763	2.5	3 521	4.7	740	2.8	4 243	6.2
Ionia -----	327	9.5	3 204	7.4	982	1.5	3 889	4.3	918	2.1	6 535	4.3
Iosco -----	71	24.7	314	35.0	201	2.5	406	12.4	202	2.1	614	4.2
Iron -----	20	5.4	25	7.2	74	3.3	205	2.6	71	3.3	320	2.6
Isabella -----	281	8.6	1 541	8.8	820	2.5	2 664	4.5	777	2.9	5 412	9.6
Jackson -----	254	12.3	1 871	8.1	961	2.4	3 464	3.8	903	2.5	3 236	3.2
Kalamazoo -----	214	11.5	1 794	6.5	681	2.8	4 128	3.6	655	2.8	12 279	.9
Kalkaska -----	15	7.7	89	2.9	76	3.6	187	4.1	72	3.6	474	3.4
Kent -----	399	6.9	2 935	6.0	1 125	1.7	5 408	4.3	1 041	2.4	15 826	2.2
Keweenaw -----	—	—	—	—	3	—	1	—	3	—	1	—
Lake -----	6	34.2	11	14.5	102	1.7	262	6.6	90	4.1	202	8.7
Lapeer -----	362	9.0	2 100	4.6	1 051	2.1	3 846	4.6	1 014	2.3	5 345	4.0
Leelanau -----	42	23.3	297	.7	371	.9	1 216	5.2	323	4.8	2 045	8.2
Lenawee -----	545	6.1	8 621	3.7	1 089	2.6	5 605	4.1	1 185	1.6	6 812	3.3
Livingston -----	203	11.8	1 075	8.9	640	2.3	2 980	6.2	608	3.0	3 010	4.2
Luce -----	10	8.0	11	5.6	30	4.0	52	4.8	27	4.5	92	6.4
Mackinac -----	23	6.6	107	2.9	66	3.9	136	4.9	63	4.0	318	3.5
Macomb -----	198	9.1	1 280	13.2	496	3.2	2 208	7.1	491	3.3	4 319	2.1
Manistee -----	60	16.7	76	11.3	251	1.1	684	7.7	227	4.0	943	8.6
Marquette -----	16	17.0	19	28.2	80	3.1	169	5.4	74	4.0	128	7.7
Mason -----	139	13.9	570	5.9	371	3.5	1 491	4.5	381	3.1	1 948	2.5
Mecosta -----	120	16.7	486	9.9	579	1.7	1 530	5.9	533	3.2	2 261	6.1
Menominee -----	113	13.7	198	8.3	299	2.5	1 118	7.8	267	5.1	1 726	7.7
Midland -----	87	17.1	1 348	3.4	388	4.3	1 682	8.4	366	5.0	1 814	10.2
Missaukee -----	85	10.1	374	1.7	287	1.0	1 123	6.1	258	4.0	2 689	4.0
Monroe -----	460	7.2	6 451	5.2	1 020	2.7	4 464	5.3	1 064	2.2	6 890	2.2
Montcalm -----	245	8.6	2 603	3.2	857	1.9	3 021	4.1	822	2.0	6 651	2.5
Montmorency -----	27	17.7	78	37.7	109	3.5	231	4.7	96	4.1	341	9.2
Muskegon -----	116	15.1	645	4.9	393	2.8	1 558	6.7	345	3.4	3 077	2.7
Newaygo -----	204	11.2	1 111	9.5	654	1.5	2 394	4.2	593	3.6	3 795	2.4
Oakland -----	91	14.0	1 122	30.2	436	3.0	1 957	7.6	451	3.2	2 698	3.9
Oceana -----	160	11.3	1 031	5.3	568	2.0	2 074	3.7	558	2.3	4 406	3.3
Ogemaw -----	94	18.9	214	7.2	260	1.5	840	5.9	227	7.4	1 652	3.7
Ontonagon -----	30	14.0	34	5.9	94	2.7	170	6.7	86	4.0	238	7.8
Osceola -----	134	14.1	389	9.9	453	1.4	1 269	6.1	377	4.4	2 045	6.5
Oscola -----	20	8.3	28	10.1	72	4.5	140	4.9	74	4.4	158	6.2
Oscego -----	26	15.4	96	7.5	129	2.2	349	8.8	113	4.1	331	6.5
Ottawa -----	483	7.7	4 715	5.4	1 298	1.5	6 175	3.1	1 287	1.3	24 280	2.1
Presque Isle -----	118	14.5	467	7.0	278	1.3	784	6.8	248	5.0	1 118	9.1
Roscommon -----	3	15.7	1	17.4	35	4.3	63	6.6	26	5.4	48	7.2
Saginaw -----	406	8.7	4 596	4.3	1 221	1.8	5 984	3.2	1 183	1.8	5 078	4.5
St. Clair -----	332	8.6	1 698	7.3	955	1.6	2 921	3.4	926	2.1	3 555	2.6
St. Joseph -----	273	10.6	5 651	6.6	808	2.0	3 841	7.7	800	2.3	4 704	4.2
Sanilac -----	655	5.6	6 516	3.2	1 274	2.3	5 817	3.7	1 338	1.9	10 087	2.0
Schoolcraft -----	13	9.2	14	5.5	47	4.4	94	5.1	47	4.5	162	3.9
Shiawassee -----	385	9.7	1 839	9.8	998	2.6	4 364	5.4	1 013	2.1	3 919	4.9
Tuscola -----	445	8.3	8 641	7.9	1 065	1.7	4 865	3.4	1 006	2.2	7 214	3.9
Van Buren -----	297	10.6	3 626	5.0	1 105	1.8	3 486	3.1	1 070	2.1	8 651	1.9
Washtenaw -----	313	8.2	2 417	7.7	969	2.1	5 085	4.3	971	2.1	5 304	2.6
Wayne -----	55	31.7	459	27.8	256	4.8	1 085	7.6	296	1.4	4 680	1.6
Wexford -----	28	14.5	94	7.3	171	2.0	419	4.8	146	3.5	481	14.7

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-21

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	46 558	.6	431 005	1.5	44 320	1.1	8 156 388	1.0	41 334	1.2	6 584 251	1.0
Alcona -----	208	1.3	(D)	(D)	198	1.0	28 738	2.2	173	1.4	15 372	2.7
Alger -----	58	4.3	(D)	(D)	57	1.0	8 208	3.3	53	1.7	4 802	4.6
Allegan -----	1 468	.7	27 848	3.3	1 366	.6	202 908	.6	1 269	.6	169 173	.6
Alpena -----	378	1.3	(D)	(D)	371	1.0	55 482	1.8	351	1.1	37 297	2.0
Antrim -----	247	1.0	3 630	10.0	241	.6	32 965	1.4	217	.9	19 809	1.5
Arenac -----	276	1.3	4 566	7.7	272	1.0	66 272	1.4	260	1.1	52 391	1.4
Baraga -----	57	3.6	248	17.3	54	.8	8 254	3.5	53	1.0	5 266	3.7
Barry -----	833	.7	2 250	43.3	782	.6	127 407	1.0	712	.7	93 656	1.2
Bay -----	794	.8	11 428	10.7	778	.5	166 625	.7	762	.5	153 910	.6
Benzie -----	120	2.1	338	37.0	117	.7	10 723	1.7	105	1.1	7 117	1.9
Berrien -----	1 313	.6	8 423	6.9	1 283	.5	140 851	.7	1 229	.5	114 707	.8
Branch -----	935	1.2	9 944	9.3	892	1.1	184 856	1.2	821	1.1	155 388	1.3
Calhoun -----	1 081	.7	5 799	15.9	1 009	.5	190 470	.6	937	.6	145 940	.7
Cass -----	735	.8	11 441	8.6	699	.6	150 590	.7	652	.6	121 753	.8
Charlevoix -----	195	1.2	(D)	(D)	190	.8	25 246	1.7	162	1.2	13 417	1.5
Cheboygan -----	170	1.7	(D)	(D)	162	1.1	26 660	2.4	149	1.4	14 470	2.3
Chippewa -----	333	.9	1 963	30.6	315	.7	66 599	1.3	296	.8	39 361	1.5
Clare -----	292	1.2	1 596	28.1	276	.9	42 963	1.2	245	1.1	24 789	1.2
Clinton -----	1 207	.8	10 617	8.4	1 178	.8	221 306	.9	1 139	.8	193 259	.9
Crawford -----	17	5.4	(D)	(D)	17	1.9	(D)	(D)	12	2.7	(D)	(D)
Delta -----	236	1.0	538	49.2	232	.7	41 916	1.5	209	1.0	25 446	1.7
Dickinson -----	98	2.2	619	30.6	95	.9	13 727	2.3	87	1.3	8 959	2.6
Eaton -----	1 069	1.0	5 643	13.6	1 018	.9	194 117	1.0	947	.9	160 758	1.1
Emmet -----	188	1.3	(D)	(D)	177	.9	25 916	2.0	168	1.1	14 619	2.3
Genesee -----	798	.8	(D)	(D)	760	.7	120 168	.7	691	.7	96 095	.8
Gladwin -----	400	1.0	(D)	(D)	383	.9	44 440	1.2	349	1.0	27 836	1.4
Gogebic -----	50	4.4	(D)	(D)	47	1.5	3 087	2.8	41	2.5	1 813	4.9
Grand Traverse -----	409	.8	1 944	33.8	392	.6	46 467	1.4	366	.7	31 485	1.2
Gratiot -----	914	.9	18 438	9.7	879	.9	248 232	.9	849	.9	221 911	.9
Hillsdale -----	1 018	1.2	5 676	16.1	951	1.0	187 622	1.2	891	1.1	152 548	1.3
Houghton -----	138	1.9	262	35.1	134	.8	15 274	2.0	120	1.1	9 024	2.3
Huron -----	1 324	1.3	26 458	5.3	1 252	1.4	403 334	1.0	1 212	1.4	361 920	1.0
Ingham -----	837	.7	3 779	22.5	788	.5	158 992	.6	733	.6	130 471	.6
Ionia -----	1 006	.9	8 789	13.5	962	.9	214 228	.9	912	.9	176 767	.8
Iosco -----	207	1.0	833	83.1	197	.9	29 498	2.5	178	1.1	18 126	2.7
Iron -----	75	3.3	(D)	(D)	72	1.1	11 786	1.6	70	1.2	6 624	2.1
Isabella -----	883	1.0	7 622	12.9	840	1.0	162 658	1.1	795	1.1	130 232	1.2
Jackson -----	1 041	.9	3 022	20.8	994	.8	161 260	1.0	875	.9	121 763	1.0
Kalamazoo -----	745	.7	14 667	6.1	700	.5	121 088	.7	643	.6	103 946	.8
Kalkaska -----	79	3.5	(D)	(D)	74	1.2	12 045	2.1	63	1.9	5 923	2.9
Kent -----	1 189	.7	19 863	4.2	1 113	.6	154 552	.7	1 046	.6	119 403	.6
Keweenaw -----	3	—	(D)	(D)	3	—	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Lake -----	102	1.7	(D)	(D)	93	1.4	10 939	2.1	82	1.8	5 321	2.7
Lapeer -----	1 120	1.0	4 568	19.2	1 063	.9	159 230	1.1	970	1.0	122 007	1.1
Leelanau -----	374	.9	5 694	11.3	362	.5	42 279	.9	351	.6	29 666	.8
Lenawee -----	1 260	.7	11 133	7.8	1 189	.5	305 242	.5	1 119	.5	276 557	.5
Livingston -----	682	.8	3 120	24.3	632	.6	93 119	.9	561	.7	71 876	1.0
Luce -----	31	3.9	172	9.3	30	1.4	6 360	3.1	28	1.5	3 224	3.2
Mackinac -----	70	3.8	579	5.7	67	1.1	14 013	2.1	63	1.4	10 512	2.5
Macomb -----	556	.9	5 762	10.2	536	.6	63 000	1.2	507	.7	52 420	1.4
Manistee -----	251	1.1	(D)	(D)	250	.5	27 299	1.4	233	.7	16 151	1.5
Marquette -----	84	2.6	(D)	(D)	77	1.1	9 892	3.6	68	1.9	6 251	3.3
Mason -----	401	1.2	2 680	13.4	393	.9	55 153	1.2	357	1.1	39 587	1.3
Mecosta -----	594	1.0	2 172	16.8	564	.9	89 137	1.3	512	1.0	59 412	1.6
Menominee -----	309	1.1	2 079	26.6	300	.6	62 458	1.0	283	.7	46 486	1.1
Midland -----	442	1.1	1 087	44.6	416	1.0	71 903	1.6	372	1.2	62 069	1.6
Missaukee -----	290	.9	6 601	8.5	277	.6	63 321	.8	254	.8	50 076	.8
Monroe -----	1 133	.8	5 780	20.4	1 083	.6	203 050	.7	1 025	.6	189 620	.7
Montcalm -----	899	.8	12 192	7.7	855	.7	179 855	.8	800	.7	140 805	.8
Montgomery -----	112	2.5	(D)	(D)	106	1.3	13 036	3.4	96	1.7	8 654	4.0
Muskegon -----	417	1.0	2 463	23.6	388	.7	53 869	.9	354	.9	43 768	.9
Newaygo -----	667	.8	5 679	13.1	642	.6	85 669	.9	590	.7	62 072	1.0
Oakland -----	503	1.3	1 829	37.8	421	1.0	35 275	1.9	344	1.2	25 837	2.2
Oceana -----	595	.8	5 798	10.3	574	.6	86 827	.6	549	.7	62 665	.7
Ogemaw -----	261	1.5	3 102	8.0	252	1.2	54 875	1.5	236	1.4	40 209	1.6
Ontonagon -----	96	2.2	(D)	(D)	95	.5	17 043	1.8	91	.8	10 842	1.6
Osceola -----	458	1.1	2 078	26.6	445	.9	75 479	1.2	415	1.0	48 874	1.4
Oscoda -----	76	4.4	161	24.5	71	1.6	8 594	4.1	66	2.0	5 505	4.1
Otsego -----	134	1.6	(D)	(D)	122	1.2	19 911	2.0	111	1.5	11 638	2.4
Ottawa -----	1 368	.7	33 087	3.9	1 287	.5	146 319	.7	1 220	.5	112 242	.7
Presque Isle -----	278	1.3	1 183	37.0	272	1.0	51 617	1.6	256	1.1	33 261	2.0
Roscommon -----	36	4.3	(D)	(D)	34	.9	2 723	6.7	26	2.6	1 790	7.6
Saginaw -----	1 295	.6	12 865	7.7	1 255	.5	290 910	.6	1 231	.6	273 755	.6
St. Clair -----	988	.7	3 402	19.0	949	.6	160 910	.9	883	.7	126 028	.9
St. Joseph -----	855	1.0	11 485	11.1	808	.7	201 349	.7	744	.8	175 391	.7
Sanilac -----	1 434	1.0	22 547	5.9	1 365	1.0	404 349	.7	1 286	1.0	334 227	.7
Schoolcraft -----	49	4.3	225	7.7	46	1.2	7 707	3.1	40	2.2	4 286	3.0
Shiawassee -----	1 087	1.0	5 218	27.9	1 051	.9	204 715	1.1	1 005	.9	178 147	1.1
Tuscola -----	1 128	.7	17 729	7.7	1 071	.6	291 059	.5	1 008	.7	262 996	.5
Van Buren -----	1 162	.8	12 084	11.2	1 129	.6	163 099	.7	1 064	.7	117 357	.7
Washtenaw -----	1 057	.7	2 202	37.5	996	.6	156 673	.8	904	.7	126 536	.8
Wayne -----	303	1.4	3 569	21.4	268	1.2	19 258	2.5	243	1.3	15 517	2.8
Wexford -----	177	1.8	483	29.1	166	.9	20 366	1.7	144	1.3	12 688	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

C-22 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan	3 823	.8	366 465	.4	17 031	1.3	1 113 604	.9	7 548	1.3	116 106	1.5
Alcona	3	19.7	(D)	(D)	114	2.2	6 048	2.5	91	2.8	2 211	3.9
Alger	9	9.5	27	18.8	29	4.6	1 661	5.7	18	6.9	321	9.6
Allegan	185	1.8	13 309	1.2	554	1.1	48 225	.9	183	2.1	3 072	2.0
Alpena	17	8.2	289	2.2	198	2.0	9 271	2.4	117	3.0	1 925	4.3
Antrim	36	3.9	2 615	.8	79	2.8	4 663	2.7	34	4.9	659	6.4
Arenac	12	10.3	1 067	3.2	92	3.1	7 148	2.5	22	7.3	294	8.2
Baraga	3	15.1	3	15.1	34	2.7	1 426	4.8	22	4.8	486	8.4
Barry	32	5.0	1 844	4.1	383	1.3	23 793	1.4	205	2.0	2 854	3.0
Bay	75	2.4	6 469	2.1	108	2.5	5 238	2.0	39	4.6	322	5.7
Benzie	19	5.4	441	4.7	31	4.6	1 381	5.8	21	5.5	(D)	(D)
Berrien	214	1.7	9 803	1.2	170	2.2	6 221	2.3	91	3.1	1 084	4.9
Branch	131	2.6	26 506	1.7	372	1.8	22 876	1.3	186	2.5	2 598	3.2
Calhoun	42	3.3	5 933	1.8	417	1.1	23 885	1.1	222	1.7	2 947	2.2
Cass	82	2.3	13 540	1.1	213	1.7	8 258	2.2	128	2.4	2 273	2.4
Charlevoix	22	5.6	143	13.7	101	2.0	4 117	2.1	68	2.9	1 119	3.7
Cheboygan	11	10.4	83	10.8	90	2.7	5 693	3.9	58	4.0	1 337	5.6
Chippewa	2	16.0	(D)	(D)	183	1.5	8 303	2.0	115	2.3	2 056	2.8
Clare	6	12.9	101	3.4	170	1.6	11 352	1.3	78	3.0	1 433	2.5
Clinton	42	4.4	3 624	2.6	402	1.5	38 478	.9	120	3.0	1 908	3.7
Crawford	1	—	(D)	(D)	3	16.7	(D)	(D)	3	16.7	(D)	(D)
Delta	19	7.2	475	8.2	138	1.8	8 121	1.8	83	2.9	1 757	3.8
Dickinson	11	6.9	664	5.9	56	2.6	2 260	3.9	34	4.0	643	4.3
Eaton	34	5.1	774	6.5	399	1.7	16 600	1.9	210	2.5	3 100	3.8
Emmet	13	7.6	263	1.3	107	2.1	5 110	2.8	76	2.9	1 648	4.3
Genesee	53	3.4	1 297	3.3	238	1.7	12 642	1.8	114	2.7	1 423	3.5
Gladwin	13	7.3	506	14.2	246	1.5	7 938	1.9	136	2.3	1 797	3.1
Gogebic	2	17.0	(D)	(D)	22	5.4	335	7.4	18	6.1	(D)	(D)
Grand Traverse	85	2.7	2 340	3.5	96	2.6	4 535	3.4	46	4.0	956	6.9
Gratiot	39	5.0	3 250	6.7	280	1.8	22 557	1.3	108	3.2	1 401	5.3
Hillsdale	39	4.9	3 666	2.6	408	1.6	23 974	1.5	126	3.1	1 605	4.7
Houghton	18	6.2	64	7.1	62	2.6	2 385	3.4	32	4.4	520	5.1
Huron	33	6.2	1 627	13.0	604	1.7	83 033	1.0	76	4.6	1 163	5.8
Ingham	24	4.1	1 320	.5	311	1.3	21 231	1.1	134	2.3	2 026	2.8
Ionia	41	4.5	3 630	4.5	469	1.4	36 274	1.1	161	2.6	2 892	3.2
Iosco	9	6.6	62	11.0	117	2.1	10 217	2.4	71	3.4	1 849	4.5
Iron	5	10.5	351	5.8	55	2.1	1 845	3.2	44	2.9	900	5.0
Isabella	22	6.3	1 488	5.7	435	1.5	32 589	1.3	182	2.5	4 011	3.2
Jackson	46	4.4	5 609	1.7	408	1.5	27 696	1.3	215	2.3	3 582	3.2
Kalamazoo	157	1.5	20 336	1.0	176	1.9	11 681	1.2	84	3.1	1 160	4.3
Kalkaska	4	12.5	895	4.2	36	4.1	769	7.1	16	7.8	135	9.7
Kent	164	1.9	9 030	1.4	431	1.2	32 184	.9	184	2.2	2 327	3.1
Keweenaw	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)	(D)
Lake	2	22.9	(D)	(D)	61	2.8	1 716	3.7	40	4.0	475	4.5
Lapeer	55	3.8	2 008	1.6	484	1.5	26 241	1.5	209	2.4	2 625	3.6
Leelanau	69	2.8	2 471	1.8	83	2.5	4 697	2.3	44	3.9	451	5.7
Lenawee	39	4.0	1 944	1.7	309	1.4	22 783	.9	82	3.1	1 054	3.2
Livingston	51	3.7	1 503	1.3	242	1.5	15 655	1.3	131	2.4	1 740	4.2
Luce	4	10.0	8	5.0	17	3.7	1 167	4.3	12	5.4	317	7.6
Mackinac	4	16.0	7	20.3	49	2.5	3 777	2.8	28	4.9	525	5.3
Macomb	100	2.2	2 751	2.4	96	2.8	5 695	1.9	44	4.7	312	6.8
Manistee	44	3.1	3 320	1.5	90	2.3	2 254	3.3	68	2.9	952	4.5
Marquette	2	23.5	(D)	(D)	40	3.7	1 836	1.9	27	5.3	347	5.3
Mason	58	3.8	3 354	1.9	127	2.7	8 859	2.5	55	4.7	811	13.2
Mecosta	37	4.1	5 420	2.5	350	1.4	17 965	1.5	178	2.3	2 398	3.2
Menominee	6	11.6	14	16.0	216	1.1	17 415	1.1	84	2.6	1 392	2.8
Midland	13	7.6	934	.3	128	2.8	5 412	2.4	90	3.4	1 127	5.6
Missaukee	18	4.7	1 768	1.4	196	1.2	24 640	.8	54	3.8	1 008	5.2
Monroe	64	2.8	2 385	1.3	179	2.1	6 799	1.6	56	4.1	380	5.0
Montcalm	116	2.0	37 575	.8	404	1.3	23 717	1.2	164	2.2	1 758	3.2
Montmorency	5	12.9	123	4.5	75	2.6	2 751	3.0	47	4.2	508	6.3
Muskegon	61	3.3	7 907	.5	151	2.1	11 052	1.4	60	3.9	660	4.7
Newaygo	54	3.5	5 215	1.2	336	1.3	20 798	1.2	129	2.5	1 292	3.6
Oakland	86	2.9	1 024	6.2	85	3.3	2 644	4.7	52	4.4	694	3.5
Oceana	57	2.7	4 397	1.4	192	1.7	10 738	1.3	103	2.6	1 550	3.0
Ogemaw	9	9.6	128	4.5	159	2.1	16 197	1.7	74	3.8	1 945	5.4
Ontonagon	1	24.9	(D)	(D)	60	2.0	3 352	2.2	36	3.5	1 018	4.1
Oscoda	15	7.5	1 350	.6	285	1.5	18 522	1.4	165	2.4	3 224	3.0
Otsego	1	42.5	(D)	(D)	54	3.0	2 467	4.6	21	7.0	381	11.5
Otsego	4	10.8	(D)	(D)	58	3.2	2 704	5.0	30	5.2	530	7.3
Ottawa	297	1.3	13 659	.8	545	1.0	41 580	1.0	196	1.9	3 644	2.0
Presque Isle	23	6.5	1 894	4.4	176	1.9	9 285	2.2	107	2.9	1 816	3.8
Roscommon	2	—	(D)	(D)	11	7.1	294	13.2	8	7.9	(D)	(D)
Saginaw	38	3.5	1 619	1.4	242	1.6	13 282	1.4	82	3.1	780	4.5
St. Clair	38	4.2	480	11.1	335	1.4	15 087	1.4	182	2.1	2 326	2.3
St. Joseph	239	1.4	85 009	.7	285	1.6	12 997	1.5	113	2.7	1 876	3.6
Sanilac	31	6.0	3 232	3.2	677	1.3	63 518	.9	163	2.8	2 271	3.7
Schoolcraft	3	18.6	(D)	(D)	30	3.5	1 775	5.0	22	5.1	(D)	(D)
Shiawassee	17	6.6	742	3.8	357	1.7	17 456	1.7	134	3.1	1 545	4.9
Tuscola	39	3.3	5 862	1.1	388	1.3	19 379	1.2	180	2.1	2 261	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-23

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Van Buren -----	193	1.9	19 404	1.1	214	2.1	8 994	1.8	131	2.7	2 006	3.0
Washtenaw -----	79	2.9	4 157	2.8	289	1.6	22 107	1.2	111	2.9	2 080	4.6
Wayne -----	64	3.1	499	3.4	34	6.2	620	11.1	22	7.6	253	11.0
Wexford -----	10	8.1	364	17.5	83	2.4	3 258	2.4	41	4.2	434	5.0
Livestock and poultry —Con.												
Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Michigan -----	5 198	1.5	316 954	.8	4 774	1.2	1 231 641	.7	1 831	1.2	97 433
Alcona -----	11	11.7	628	7.8	10	10.6	173	19.1	10	11.6	546	15.0
Alger -----	8	9.5	388	8.4	6	10.8	(D)	(D)	6	12.3	1 085	15.2
Allegan -----	210	1.7	15 878	1.0	229	1.7	109 059	.7	54	4.2	3 048	9.5
Alpena -----	49	4.9	2 468	3.5	27	6.6	1 377	14.4	12	10.5	725	17.4
Antrim -----	22	5.8	956	4.4	19	6.1	1 653	8.3	13	8.9	490	15.3
Arenac -----	41	4.7	2 872	2.9	20	7.6	4 697	7.7	8	11.0	164	12.3
Baraga -----	11	7.7	315	9.2	3	10.7	28	14.5	—	—	—	—
Barry -----	90	2.8	7 219	1.3	94	3.2	13 500	2.3	39	4.6	3 666	3.9
Bay -----	29	4.7	1 505	2.5	29	5.8	1 113	7.1	5	13.1	153	15.2
Benzie -----	1	36.9	(D)	(D)	8	9.7	803	29.2	3	20.0	54	22.0
Berrien -----	33	4.7	1 573	2.9	74	3.4	18 794	1.9	22	6.9	267	8.0
Branch -----	97	3.5	4 005	2.3	217	2.4	71 935	1.4	42	5.6	1 798	11.8
Calhoun -----	98	2.2	5 066	1.7	151	2.1	40 577	1.6	65	3.6	2 276	5.1
Cass -----	38	4.0	1 629	3.7	206	1.6	240 927	.3	44	4.2	1 545	5.4
Charlevoix -----	23	4.6	1 129	3.2	14	7.4	1 010	38.8	10	9.1	242	20.3
Cheboygan -----	16	6.3	1 405	2.9	14	9.2	164	11.3	11	9.5	231	14.5
Chippewa -----	44	3.8	1 415	4.1	28	5.3	656	7.8	23	5.9	1 174	10.2
Clare -----	68	2.7	2 862	1.8	36	4.3	4 843	1.8	18	6.6	1 113	7.1
Clinton -----	137	2.3	13 917	1.1	116	3.0	26 969	2.8	45	4.6	2 286	10.1
Crawford -----	—	—	—	—	4	12.5	26	5.8	4	12.5	37	4.1
Delta -----	52	3.5	2 222	2.5	14	9.6	830	17.2	5	13.9	33	15.4
Dickinson -----	14	7.3	540	8.0	8	9.4	121	25.5	—	—	—	—
Eaton -----	99	3.3	3 943	3.0	103	3.4	24 857	2.7	55	4.4	3 253	7.3
Emmet -----	21	6.6	920	4.6	13	9.1	823	17.0	10	11.5	120	23.6
Genesee -----	35	4.0	2 376	2.0	67	3.7	9 414	2.3	37	5.4	1 462	7.7
Gladwin -----	78	3.1	1 717	3.0	74	3.4	5 691	5.1	27	6.0	817	9.7
Gogebic -----	1	50.0	(D)	(D)	6	12.0	22	14.8	4	14.4	27	20.7
Grand Traverse -----	12	7.1	683	4.0	37	4.7	4 861	6.8	9	10.9	32	20.7
Gratiot -----	84	2.8	7 568	1.3	84	3.6	16 671	3.3	18	7.8	364	8.8
Hillsdale -----	150	2.6	7 975	1.8	177	2.6	37 671	2.7	49	5.3	1 265	8.3
Houghton -----	20	6.2	640	6.4	12	8.2	487	27.3	12	8.5	364	8.9
Huron -----	278	2.1	16 402	1.4	92	3.2	41 278	.9	17	8.0	391	12.8
Ingham -----	94	2.2	6 814	1.4	69	3.2	22 172	2.2	56	3.8	2 167	5.7
Ionia -----	147	2.3	12 017	1.4	119	3.1	31 647	2.6	36	5.3	1 581	10.1
Iosco -----	25	6.9	1 521	5.0	16	7.9	2 053	4.7	7	13.0	460	27.7
Iron -----	8	7.3	274	8.6	2	22.4	(D)	(D)	6	9.7	411	5.0
Isabella -----	174	2.3	10 724	1.4	93	3.8	13 913	5.6	23	7.7	848	17.0
Jackson -----	70	3.2	5 192	1.9	127	3.0	29 079	2.1	62	4.3	6 644	4.4
Kalamazoo -----	21	3.9	2 271	1.5	62	3.5	28 435	1.4	40	4.8	4 284	10.5
Kalkaska -----	7	11.3	194	14.9	11	6.4	(D)	(D)	3	17.6	61	18.6
Kent -----	148	2.0	11 218	1.0	88	3.4	14 203	3.0	27	5.7	1 282	12.1
Keweenaw -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	15	6.8	293	6.0	16	7.6	625	11.6	3	13.5	(D)	(D)
Lapeer -----	131	2.8	7 683	2.0	108	3.3	9 160	6.5	52	5.1	1 919	15.1
Leelanau -----	18	5.0	739	3.8	21	6.1	479	9.3	2	22.1	(D)	(D)
Lenawee -----	93	2.2	5 778	1.3	124	2.3	31 135	1.7	46	4.1	4 314	9.8
Livingston -----	73	2.6	4 671	1.6	50	4.0	3 388	4.2	41	4.7	1 831	7.3
Luce -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Mackinac -----	18	5.9	1 031	4.1	7	12.1	46	12.8	5	11.6	98	5.8
Macomb -----	25	4.7	1 265	3.8	26	5.9	4 560	1.8	21	7.1	400	8.0
Manistee -----	11	7.9	216	8.4	19	6.4	632	13.3	5	13.6	137	15.8
Marquette -----	9	6.3	576	4.0	5	15.8	46	32.2	4	17.9	149	20.6
Mason -----	53	4.2	2 580	2.9	32	6.0	5 798	7.3	20	6.9	2 526	13.8
Mecosta -----	130	2.8	5 357	2.2	78	4.0	7 282	5.7	22	7.2	2 745	8.9
Menominee -----	120	1.8	6 656	1.4	17	6.1	211	7.3	14	7.3	764	10.4
Midland -----	14	8.8	489	5.1	49	4.7	5 917	6.6	13	9.3	326	12.6
Missaukee -----	111	1.6	9 827	.9	20	6.1	4 029	3.0	14	7.8	480	5.8
Monroe -----	21	5.7	1 020	4.0	96	3.0	14 001	2.6	44	4.6	1 278	7.9
Montcalm -----	144	2.2	8 511	1.5	104	3.1	11 810	5.0	31	5.5	1 468	9.0
Montmorency -----	22	6.4	872	5.6	13	9.3	333	5.2	1	35.7	(D)	(D)
Muskegon -----	45	4.0	3 251	2.0	50	4.4	7 886	6.3	13	9.2	148	12.0
Newaygo -----	133	2.2	7 496	1.5	59	4.0	5 481	9.3	15	9.1	300	14.8
Oakland -----	10	9.7	489	9.5	17	9.0	1 073	20.9	47	4.7	1 283	7.4
Oceana -----	41	3.5	2 629	1.5	62	3.6	6 564	2.8	16	7.9	207	14.5

See footnotes at end of table.

C-24 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.										
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory		
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Ogemaw -----	67	3.5	5 226	2.2	13	11.1	1 967	19.7	9	12.4	300 27.6
Ontonagon -----	18	5.3	610	4.1	4	15.8	7	15.7	5	10.5	439 15.8
Osceola -----	91	3.0	5 666	1.9	43	5.4	1 655	11.2	18	9.2	1 039 15.9
Oscola -----	30	5.2	652	6.2	10	10.7	210	13.9	13	9.5	820 11.8
Otsego -----	10	8.3	481	7.6	5	11.7	(D)	(D)	1	30.7	(D) (D)
Ottawa -----	184	1.7	13 470	1.2	177	1.9	89 434	1.0	32	5.1	938 5.3
Presque Isle -----	35	5.1	2 049	3.2	14	8.3	(D)	(D)	1	40.8	(D) (D)
Roscommon -----	1	38.6	(D)	(D)	6	11.3	68	14.6	3	11.0	140 15.1
Saginaw -----	67	2.6	3 802	1.8	53	3.9	6 238	5.1	31	5.1	407 7.9
St. Clair -----	83	2.9	3 063	2.6	83	3.2	2 617	8.5	35	5.3	620 6.8
St. Joseph -----	78	3.0	3 167	1.8	200	1.9	61 806	1.4	62	3.8	2 917 4.7
Sanilac -----	374	1.6	25 424	.9	137	3.2	14 006	5.0	31	6.5	866 7.8
Schoolcraft -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	4	19.7	1 276	20.3	—	—	—
Shiawassee -----	99	3.0	5 933	1.9	108	3.6	11 545	7.4	43	5.2	2 356 5.1
Tuscola -----	97	2.5	6 007	1.6	121	2.6	15 845	2.2	22	6.3	1 618 6.3
Van Buren -----	44	4.1	1 814	2.4	119	2.8	52 055	1.0	25	6.7	1 163 7.8
Washtenaw -----	93	2.5	6 553	1.6	95	3.0	24 984	2.9	129	2.6	15 979 3.9
Wayne -----	4	20.4	8	23.0	14	10.4	182	22.2	1	38.1	(D) (D)
Wexford -----	21	4.6	994	3.5	14	7.7	179	12.3	4	12.1	(D) (D)
Livestock and poultry —Con.											
Geographic area	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold					
	Farms		Total			Farms		Total			
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Michigan -----	2 417	1.0	4 607 549	.2	386	1.9	400 262	11.6	—	—
Alcona -----	13	9.5	273	10.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Alger -----	4	12.7	58	12.6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Allegan -----	53	3.9	1 033 823	.3	13	9.0	(D)	(D)	275	26.6	26.6
Alpena -----	28	6.7	897	9.5	3	23.3	—	—	—	—	—
Antrim -----	21	6.7	529	7.3	2	26.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Arenac -----	15	8.0	478	8.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Baraga -----	4	16.0	35	15.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Barry -----	49	4.8	1 987	9.1	5	12.2	585	12.2	—	—	—
Bay -----	9	10.8	189	12.3	4	11.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Benzie -----	9	8.3	250	8.8	2	14.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Berrien -----	35	5.2	808	5.9	3	20.9	366	23.0	—	—	—
Branch -----	38	5.9	(D)	(D)	5	14.6	765	20.2	—	—	—
Calhoun -----	50	4.2	7 642	25.8	6	13.9	370	23.1	—	—	—
Cass -----	28	5.8	2 762	25.5	3	20.5	333	27.9	—	—	—
Charlevoix -----	25	5.6	1 334	17.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cheboygan -----	14	10.4	429	12.4	1	44.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Chippewa -----	25	5.6	529	8.5	2	24.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Clare -----	32	5.0	902	5.3	3	14.5	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Clinton -----	34	4.9	1 051	6.6	5	17.5	176	18.9	—	—	—
Crawford -----	7	7.1	133	7.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Delta -----	18	7.7	737	10.8	2	27.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Dickinson -----	6	11.1	152	14.6	1	22.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Eaton -----	44	5.4	43 095	15.2	5	18.1	1 070	26.3	—	—	—
Emmet -----	14	9.5	293	12.5	1	44.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Genesee -----	57	4.1	2 252	5.5	5	15.5	220	20.4	—	—	—
Gladwin -----	58	4.1	2 232	5.3	11	8.2	4 183	8.4	—	—	—
Gogebic -----	3	23.1	84	22.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Traverse -----	25	5.7	667	9.1	6	9.1	1 040	11.3	—	—	—
Gratiot -----	22	7.3	(D)	(D)	3	21.5	300	31.0	—	—	—
Hillsdale -----	59	4.1	(D)	(D)	12	9.6	1 187	12.1	—	—	—
Houghton -----	17	6.8	(D)	(D)	5	13.3	120	18.9	—	—	—
Huron -----	29	5.6	786 000	.8	7	13.9	343	21.8	—	—	—
Ingham -----	35	4.9	946	4.1	4	16.1	185	18.4	—	—	—
Ionia -----	29	5.1	(D)	(D)	3	22.5	300	23.9	—	—	—
Iosco -----	14	8.3	292	10.9	1	42.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Iron -----	6	10.3	170	10.4	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Isabella -----	37	5.4	(D)	(D)	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Jackson -----	70	3.9	(D)	(D)	9	10.5	613	16.5	—	—	—
Kalamazoo -----	30	5.5	355 045	.3	8	9.1	1 525	11.5	—	—	—
Kalkaska -----	11	9.1	160	9.8	3	19.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Kent -----	45	4.7	1 387	6.8	11	10.1	782	15.7	—	—	—
Keweenaw -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	10	10.0	357	14.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lapeer -----	78	4.0	2 647	7.1	20	8.5	1 768	14.2	—	—	—
Leelanau -----	23	5.8	779	7.1	2	23.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Lenawee -----	49	4.2	7 559	21.6	11	9.5	1 684	13.0	—	—	—
Livingston -----	54	3.9	1 464	9.3	11	8.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Luce -----	3	16.4	70	16.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mackinac -----	9	9.4	447	19.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-25

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.											
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold						
	Farms		Total			Farms		Total				
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number		
Macomb -----	32	5.4	1 801	12.2	8	11.4	2 073	18.7				
Manistee -----	25	5.7	596	8.7	1	31.2	(D)	(D)				
Marquette -----	12	8.6	392	12.3	1	30.7	(D)	(D)				
Mason -----	20	8.3	1 472	33.8	3	20.9	90	30.6				
Mecosta -----	35	5.8	1 727	11.8	8	13.9	4 362	31.0				
Menominee -----	16	6.8	676	11.3	3	17.0	85	17.2				
Midland -----	27	6.2	1 129	8.7	3	19.3	(D)	(D)				
Missaukee -----	14	8.0	385	12.3	1	38.1	(D)	(D)				
Monroe -----	56	4.2	7 176	4.7	12	10.1	1 831	14.3				
Montcalm -----	42	5.1	1 561	6.3	8	10.7	770	11.8				
Montmorency -----	11	11.7	576	23.7	1	46.4	(D)	(D)				
Muskegon -----	25	6.6	964	15.8	7	11.7	196	13.1				
Newaygo -----	30	5.4	86 003	.1	8	11.8	215	13.4				
Oakland -----	30	6.0	686	7.3	3	17.2	58	16.5				
Oceana -----	37	5.2	(D)	(D)	2	19.8	(D)	(D)				
Ogemaw -----	17	8.4	290	7.3	—	—	—	—				
Ontonagon -----	7	10.9	194	13.4	1	37.7	(D)	(D)				
Oscoda -----	38	5.6	941	8.5	—	—	—	—				
Oscoda -----	15	8.7	1 063	15.0	7	13.9	1 195	20.4				
Otsego -----	5	15.7	63	19.3	1	41.6	(D)	(D)				
Ottawa -----	47	4.2	753 692	(L)	18	6.9	3 032	15.3				
Presque Isle -----	24	7.4	757	8.9	7	13.7	974	15.1				
Roscommon -----	4	9.6	37	1.0	—	—	—	—				
Saginaw -----	52	4.1	1 651	6.2	4	15.1	(D)	(D)				
St. Clair -----	74	3.6	2 297	4.4	15	8.3	3 301	8.7				
St. Joseph -----	64	3.7	22 633	1.3	10	9.7	(D)	(D)				
Sanilac -----	52	5.5	2 094	9.2	7	16.5	(D)	(D)				
Schoolcraft -----	5	12.2	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)				
Shiawassee -----	50	5.2	2 412	11.7	5	19.0	560	19.7				
Tuscola -----	49	4.1	246 014	(L)	14	8.4	5 344	18.5				
Van Buren -----	49	4.7	(D)	(D)	11	9.9	213	17.4				
Washtenaw -----	71	3.7	8 542	24.2	9	12.0	987	22.8				
Wayne -----	18	9.0	876	15.9	4	20.5	(D)	(D)				
Wexford -----	16	7.3	449	10.2	1	36.9	(D)	(D)				
Selected crops harvested												
Geographic area	Corn for grain or seed					Wheat for grain						
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	Farms		Acres		Quantity		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels		
Michigan -----	18 962	1.4	2 221 271	.9	226 824 263	.8	12 433	1.6	583 245	1.2	29 350 586	1.2
Alcona -----	13	9.4	1 156	5.0	66 685	4.8	21	7.4	679	9.1	33 821	12.1
Alger -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Allegan -----	648	.9	83 041	.7	8 590 184	.7	250	1.6	8 906	1.4	444 092	1.3
Alpena -----	52	4.6	3 560	7.8	179 961	8.4	68	4.1	1 834	6.4	77 600	6.8
Antrim -----	37	4.3	1 482	4.8	120 966	5.2	12	8.3	289	6.9	9 211	4.8
Arenac -----	131	2.3	13 344	1.9	1 059 270	1.9	106	2.8	4 735	2.8	281 866	2.8
Baraga -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Barry -----	297	1.4	32 496	1.5	3 414 675	1.5	194	1.8	11 350	2.0	507 060	2.3
Bay -----	405	1.0	44 206	.9	5 006 719	.9	257	1.4	7 933	1.5	469 875	1.5
Benzie -----	13	7.2	565	1.7	40 818	1.8	2	16.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Berrien -----	352	1.4	39 889	1.2	4 388 800	1.2	138	2.3	6 450	2.9	250 899	1.8
Branch -----	637	1.3	88 542	1.4	10 169 971	1.4	251	2.2	8 299	2.4	330 489	2.3
Calhoun -----	600	.8	76 216	.8	7 916 918	.8	343	1.1	15 298	1.1	655 728	1.2
Cass -----	408	1.0	66 426	.8	7 492 627	.8	144	1.8	6 010	1.5	250 290	1.6
Charlevoix -----	25	5.0	1 355	2.8	82 144	2.4	4	13.6	89	16.5	2 007	16.6
Cheboygan -----	16	8.6	458	8.6	31 080	6.5	12	9.5	359	5.6	6 970	7.6
Chippewa -----	5	10.8	70	13.0	2 680	17.9	16	7.1	199	8.3	2 471	8.7
Clare -----	55	3.0	3 594	1.5	238 979	1.9	53	3.3	1 572	2.5	69 332	2.3
Clinton -----	645	1.2	57 269	1.0	6 024 228	1.0	634	1.2	27 419	1.3	1 349 228	1.3
Crawford -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Delta -----	10	9.7	416	7.1	40 570	3.8	15	6.8	1 053	10.9	36 832	13.7
Dickinson -----	6	12.8	268	9.4	13 220	11.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eaton -----	548	1.3	61 235	1.2	6 507 646	1.2	403	1.6	26 205	1.5	1 347 536	1.6
Emmet -----	26	6.2	1 003	6.9	58 488	9.2	8	13.5	68	19.4	2 160	18.4
Genesee -----	244	1.5	38 276	.8	3 293 655	.8	218	1.7	11 275	2.2	486 231	2.5
Gladwin -----	113	2.5	5 314	2.5	391 377	2.6	100	2.7	2 613	3.0	108 936	2.9
Gogebic -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Traverse -----	63	3.2	4 150	2.6	271 178	2.7	43	3.8	2 213	4.4	75 136	4.3
Gratiot -----	586	1.2	77 436	.9	8 613 615	.9	356	1.6	16 131	1.6	819 906	1.6
Hillsdale -----	617	1.4	73 169	1.4	7 784 467	1.4	310	2.0	10 527	2.0	424 493	1.9
Houghton -----	3	13.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	26.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Huron -----	870	1.5	116 864	1.0	11 410 473	1.0	827	1.6	47 761	1.3	3 159 791	1.3
Ingham -----	370	1.1	53 624	.8	5 196 447	.9	265	1.3	17 891	1.0	847 122	1.0
Ionia -----	589	1.2	65 062	1.0	6 517 685	1.0	449	1.5	25 347	1.4	1 226 480	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

C-26 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed								Wheat for grain			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Iosco -----	50	4.1	2 572	8.5	128 216	7.3	35	5.1	832	7.1	50 068	7.2
Iron -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	8.9	208	3.6	5 840	3.8
Isabella -----	404	1.7	39 901	1.5	3 331 947	1.6	299	2.0	11 929	2.0	565 060	2.1
Jackson -----	525	1.3	64 462	1.1	6 556 021	1.1	272	2.0	11 228	1.9	501 873	1.8
Kalamazoo -----	299	1.2	50 030	.8	5 603 069	.7	155	1.9	8 747	1.5	363 044	1.2
Kalkaska -----	12	9.2	562	7.5	41 240	7.9	3	16.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kent -----	404	1.2	39 798	1.0	3 271 022	.9	206	1.7	7 744	1.6	318 398	1.4
Keweenaw -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake -----	9	8.8	186	7.1	8 880	8.1	3	13.5	27	9.0	(D)	(D)
Lapeer -----	425	1.6	47 862	1.5	4 321 823	1.2	273	2.1	10 589	2.1	498 395	2.0
Leelanau -----	62	2.9	3 116	2.3	232 992	2.9	12	7.4	210	4.5	7 493	4.7
Lenawee -----	752	.7	106 695	.6	12 934 524	.6	528	.9	32 062	1.1	1 675 120	1.0
Livingston -----	222	1.5	30 362	1.3	2 888 002	1.3	147	1.9	7 055	1.6	319 971	1.6
Luce -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	31.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Mackinac -----	2	26.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	7	10.1	350	21.7	18 400	28.8
Macomb -----	163	1.9	15 490	1.5	1 588 900	1.7	125	2.4	4 377	2.6	234 609	2.9
Manistee -----	39	3.9	692	3.8	51 179	3.7	14	6.0	214	5.1	9 102	5.2
Marquette -----	2	21.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mason -----	113	2.9	7 429	2.6	511 387	2.4	75	3.6	2 820	4.9	110 011	4.9
Mecosta -----	195	2.1	10 693	2.4	708 206	2.5	88	3.5	2 298	3.9	82 623	3.8
Menominee -----	54	3.2	3 308	2.8	176 394	2.6	9	9.7	172	12.6	4 155	13.1
Midland -----	194	2.1	22 700	1.8	2 274 757	1.6	92	3.6	3 060	4.8	165 075	5.1
Missaukee -----	45	2.5	3 848	1.7	269 751	1.3	28	3.8	544	3.1	22 275	2.7
Monroe -----	568	1.0	63 059	.9	7 683 104	.9	418	1.2	20 039	1.1	1 107 043	1.1
Montcalm -----	455	1.2	42 669	1.2	3 597 876	1.3	260	1.6	15 885	1.3	660 874	1.2
Montmorency -----	14	9.4	659	13.1	32 866	15.3	21	6.7	717	12.3	33 418	17.2
Muskegon -----	136	2.2	14 772	1.6	862 248	1.8	53	3.7	1 484	2.9	63 419	2.7
Newaygo -----	230	1.7	13 670	1.8	906 164	1.8	77	3.2	1 693	4.5	67 316	4.6
Oakland -----	70	3.6	11 153	2.7	1 051 553	2.5	35	5.1	2 270	3.7	111 397	3.5
Oceana -----	146	1.9	8 373	1.4	615 110	1.6	55	3.1	1 532	2.1	62 376	2.0
Ogemaw -----	65	3.6	6 254	1.4	385 200	1.6	48	4.7	2 032	3.7	107 370	3.1
Ontonagon -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Osceola -----	40	5.1	2 590	3.2	162 594	2.7	30	6.4	497	6.7	15 398	5.4
Oscoda -----	2	29.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Otsego -----	11	8.9	332	8.3	27 687	8.7	13	8.7	243	12.4	5 076	12.4
Ottawa -----	525	1.0	42 362	1.3	3 724 693	1.3	206	1.8	4 863	1.9	206 383	2.1
Presque Isle -----	39	5.1	2 430	2.4	175 577	2.3	39	5.3	1 253	6.4	40 236	5.9
Roscommon -----	1	38.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	33.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Saginaw -----	771	.8	80 104	.7	8 858 816	.7	569	.9	24 771	1.0	1 404 450	1.0
St. Clair -----	301	1.4	31 499	1.3	2 717 951	1.2	364	1.3	16 543	1.4	806 416	1.4
St. Joseph -----	564	1.0	107 668	.7	12 512 015	.7	115	2.3	3 755	2.2	137 120	2.2
Sanilac -----	718	1.3	102 227	.8	9 395 093	.8	770	1.3	45 662	1.1	2 539 428	1.0
Schoolcraft -----	5	15.8	104	15.4	13 550	17.4	1	-	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Shiawassee -----	473	1.5	48 606	1.5	4 643 197	1.5	524	1.5	26 566	1.7	1 274 136	1.7
Tuscola -----	677	.9	85 178	.6	9 618 411	.6	453	1.1	24 746	.9	1 469 620	.8
Van Buren -----	283	1.7	38 255	1.2	3 694 589	1.3	84	3.1	3 001	2.2	120 401	2.4
Washtenaw -----	457	1.1	52 646	1.0	5 560 722	1.0	386	1.2	16 760	1.2	798 275	1.2
Wayne -----	40	5.3	4 283	4.8	601 771	5.0	25	6.3	1 040	7.0	59 581	7.9
Wexford -----	16	6.6	1 845	10.4	133 910	11.6	10	8.3	301	6.0	9 860	4.6
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Soybeans for beans								Dry edible beans			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	13 175	1.4	1 332 114	1.1	41 633 625	1.0	3 176	1.8	322 334	1.3	4 229 224	1.2
Alcona -----	1	-	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	21.0	301	25.8	4 985	25.8
Alger -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allegan -----	197	1.8	16 243	1.6	564 392	1.5	4	12.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Alpena -----	4	20.5	204	29.9	2 852	20.5	23	7.2	3 973	5.3	44 147	3.0
Antrim -----	1	34.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	-	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Arenac -----	79	3.5	4 770	4.3	115 169	4.3	87	3.2	9 692	2.7	133 319	2.9
Baraga -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Barry -----	172	2.0	18 961	2.2	622 608	2.2	1	35.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bay -----	379	1.1	24 413	1.3	799 818	1.3	406	1.0	36 161	1.0	495 619	.9
Benzie -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Berrien -----	350	1.4	29 518	1.3	1 022 493	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Branch -----	478	1.6	39 046	1.7	1 371 423	1.7	5	13.4	303	17.6	5 670	19.5
Calhoun -----	415	1.1	31 856	1.1	1 015 191	1.2	3	20.7	46	25.5	690	25.7
Cass -----	287	1.3	29 191	1.5	969 970	1.6	3	14.9	228	10.0	6 240	11.5
Charlevoix -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	20.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cheboygan -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	16.4	161	4.9	528	11.9
Chippewa -----	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clare -----	5	14.0	140	13.6	1 142	18.4	2	10.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clinton -----	748	1.1	66 669	1.4	2 082 181	1.4	25	5.8	1 594	4.2	21 101	3.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Soybeans for beans								Dry edible beans			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Hundredweight	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Crawford -----	—	—	—	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Delta -----	2	17.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	10	7.8	1 263	10.8	12 143	13.6
Dickinson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eaton -----	451	1.5	46 778	1.5	1 517 660	1.5	71	3.6	5 477	2.1	65 896	1.8
Emmet -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Genesee -----	263	1.5	28 930	1.2	709 072	1.1	7	11.5	145	7.4	1 817	4.8
Gladwin -----	27	5.2	908	6.1	20 767	7.1	20	5.5	2 147	5.3	20 505	4.7
Gogebic -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Traverse -----	1	28.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gratiot -----	591	1.2	63 812	1.3	1 966 680	1.2	245	2.0	25 583	1.8	355 538	1.6
Hillsdale -----	489	1.6	43 000	1.9	1 437 546	1.9	4	17.9	90	25.8	1 950	26.8
Houghton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Huron -----	212	2.6	12 161	2.2	337 460	2.0	793	1.6	90 835	1.3	1 183 278	1.3
Ingham -----	279	1.3	30 880	1.0	1 078 774	.9	7	5.8	191	3.1	2 706	3.9
Ionia -----	425	1.5	41 825	1.2	1 309 692	1.3	44	4.8	6 681	2.2	53 063	3.1
Iosco -----	8	11.4	232	15.2	2 876	15.4	8	12.2	178	17.0	1 785	19.1
Iron -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Isabella -----	244	2.2	19 338	2.1	510 835	2.1	100	3.6	5 849	4.6	76 528	4.9
Jackson -----	196	2.3	13 705	2.6	454 995	2.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kalamazoo -----	240	1.4	24 707	1.2	821 152	1.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Kalkaska -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kent -----	85	2.5	5 743	2.3	163 833	2.6	18	7.0	2 243	5.5	32 961	4.6
Keweenaw -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	1	33.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lapeer -----	187	2.5	13 427	2.6	375 257	2.5	31	5.1	5 796	1.2	67 639	.5
Leelanau -----	3	15.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lenawee -----	792	.7	111 836	.6	4 085 280	.6	5	14.2	222	20.8	4 045	24.0
Livingston -----	105	2.3	9 544	1.7	270 652	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Luce -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mackinac -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	37.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Macomb -----	189	1.8	19 118	2.2	569 515	2.2	8	8.4	453	10.1	3 553	9.9
Manistee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	958	—	13 668	—
Marquette -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mason -----	14	7.8	591	9.1	11 723	11.4	5	15.5	232	11.2	2 204	12.1
Mecosta -----	6	12.8	167	11.4	2 812	17.1	19	7.3	2 329	3.3	25 529	4.3
Menominee -----	3	17.0	86	19.4	1 460	25.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Midland -----	179	2.3	18 268	2.7	575 143	2.4	73	3.9	6 371	3.8	82 754	3.6
Missaukee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Monroe -----	755	.8	92 322	.8	3 007 179	.8	10	8.1	416	6.6	7 831	6.3
Montcalm -----	119	2.7	10 636	3.3	244 605	3.1	132	2.4	17 256	1.8	210 883	1.8
Montmorency -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	23.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Muskegon -----	16	5.7	2 549	1.5	43 159	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newaygo -----	7	12.0	350	12.0	5 438	10.2	3	21.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Oakland -----	16	7.9	1 535	7.5	49 470	8.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oceana -----	10	7.0	323	6.0	5 445	5.3	3	—	196	—	(D)	(D)
Ogemaw -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Ontonagon -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Osceola -----	4	16.2	105	23.3	3 527	19.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oscola -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ossego -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	30.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Ottawa -----	34	4.4	1 289	4.7	36 483	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Presque Isle -----	2	24.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	41	5.2	3 576	6.0	18 930	3.1
Roscommon -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Saginaw -----	961	.7	121 932	.8	3 715 603	.7	221	1.6	15 293	1.5	236 889	1.4
St. Clair -----	417	1.2	50 223	1.4	1 383 405	1.5	6	12.4	384	6.8	4 340	6.0
St. Joseph -----	407	1.2	40 819	1.1	1 449 697	1.1	22	3.7	2 212	2.0	37 523	2.0
Sanilac -----	626	1.4	69 673	1.2	1 739 077	1.2	274	2.1	22 393	1.7	225 695	1.7
Schoolcraft -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shiawassee -----	677	1.2	77 544	1.4	2 251 049	1.4	19	7.4	616	10.1	4 154	7.6
Tuscola -----	472	1.1	42 769	.9	1 368 331	.9	391	1.2	49 033	.9	746 639	.8
Van Buren -----	171	2.2	23 679	1.7	597 615	2.0	3	22.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Washtenaw -----	296	1.5	22 970	1.5	762 558	1.7	3	22.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Wayne -----	75	3.4	6 525	3.5	153 268	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wexford -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—

Selected crops harvested —Con.

Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)

Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)

Geographic area	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan -----	22 285	1.2	1 283 598	1.2	2 821 347	1.1	3 007	1.0	138 851	.5
Alcona -----	155	1.6	12 737	2.7	20 941	3.4	7	13.6	44	14.9
Alger -----	42	2.9	4 279	4.0	6 654	6.0	4	19.1	44	30.5
Allegan -----	682	.9	34 498	1.0	95 785	1.2	102	2.7	6 668	1.7
Alpena -----	280	1.5	19 573	2.0	29 216	2.8	14	10.0	368	10.9

See footnotes at end of table.

C-28 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.									
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)						Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Antrim -----	120	2.0	8 272	2.3	11 844	2.4	14	8.3	122	15.3
Arenac -----	131	2.4	10 036	3.1	22 174	2.9	36	4.9	2 027	3.3
Baraga -----	48	1.4	5 054	3.7	5 940	4.3	1	23.5	(D)	(D)
Barry -----	519	1.0	25 947	1.5	69 338	1.8	32	5.3	354	4.4
Bay -----	119	2.4	5 214	2.3	16 315	2.1	89	2.7	3 905	2.2
Benzie -----	44	3.4	1 591	5.4	1 499	4.9	8	8.8	244	3.1
Berrien -----	243	1.8	7 072	2.5	18 212	2.8	245	1.7	6 420	1.0
Branch -----	381	1.7	11 521	2.0	33 228	2.2	27	6.0	1 078	4.8
Calhoun -----	507	1.0	19 048	1.2	51 930	1.3	29	5.5	907	2.3
Cass -----	345	1.2	12 729	1.5	35 550	1.7	62	3.0	3 619	1.1
Charlevoix -----	141	1.5	9 033	1.9	15 065	2.3	10	8.5	476	1.6
Chippewa -----	132	1.7	11 656	2.5	13 780	3.1	10	10.8	103	6.3
Clare -----	274	.9	36 348	1.6	37 925	1.8	1	—	(D)	(D)
Clinton -----	219	1.2	17 219	1.5	24 338	1.4	2	21.3	(D)	(D)
Clinton -----	545	1.3	26 381	1.3	87 964	1.2	24	6.2	667	6.1
Crawford -----	9	6.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	16.7	21	35.7
Delta -----	168	1.4	16 255	2.0	20 201	1.9	15	8.6	121	13.0
Dickinson -----	70	1.8	6 161	3.5	8 304	3.5	6	7.2	37	7.0
Eaton -----	481	1.5	16 958	2.3	47 074	2.3	32	5.7	1 062	1.8
Emmet -----	142	1.5	10 998	2.6	14 562	2.9	15	8.3	211	10.5
Genesee -----	369	1.3	12 715	1.6	28 390	1.9	50	4.0	800	4.6
Gladwin -----	286	1.2	13 592	1.7	19 493	1.9	13	7.7	149	12.4
Gogebic -----	38	2.8	1 792	4.9	2 083	6.6	1	34.0	(D)	(D)
Grand Traverse -----	145	1.9	9 585	2.3	14 152	2.5	37	4.3	2 075	4.1
Gratiot -----	281	1.9	13 647	2.3	39 142	2.6	63	4.0	4 755	1.9
Hillsdale -----	467	1.5	20 051	1.7	59 973	1.6	16	9.0	81	11.0
Houghton -----	92	1.7	8 167	2.4	9 565	3.9	8	10.4	31	12.7
Huron -----	586	1.7	31 719	1.5	109 725	1.6	12	11.8	55	9.8
Ingham -----	445	1.0	21 619	1.3	63 570	1.4	29	5.2	1 166	1.2
Ionia -----	559	1.2	28 925	1.4	84 070	1.4	37	5.4	550	3.9
Iosco -----	143	1.6	12 254	2.7	19 809	3.2	13	8.9	95	8.4
Iron -----	61	1.8	6 092	3.3	7 073	3.1	—	—	—	—
Isabella -----	564	1.3	41 736	1.4	92 565	1.6	14	11.0	343	13.3
Jackson -----	569	1.3	25 884	1.8	71 055	1.9	35	5.4	1 216	4.7
Kalamazoo -----	267	1.5	11 536	1.5	27 381	2.3	65	3.1	4 453	2.8
Kalkaska -----	54	2.4	2 972	4.4	3 080	6.1	6	11.0	860	2.9
Kent -----	634	1.0	34 196	1.0	89 707	1.1	114	2.5	4 507	1.5
Keweenaw -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lake -----	71	2.2	4 332	2.8	3 708	3.9	1	—	(D)	(D)
Lapeer -----	676	1.2	32 998	1.6	81 694	1.7	56	4.5	3 446	1.5
Leelanau -----	122	1.9	6 175	2.1	9 015	2.5	18	6.6	160	7.9
Lenawee -----	376	1.2	15 591	1.3	45 567	1.4	74	2.6	4 261	1.5
Livingston -----	405	1.1	21 417	1.4	47 359	1.5	41	4.0	2 133	.4
Luce -----	17	3.8	2 271	3.9	3 214	4.0	2	15.7	(D)	(D)
Mackinac -----	57	1.7	9 229	2.6	12 497	4.2	5	16.0	38	23.6
Macomb -----	170	2.0	5 151	3.0	13 022	3.3	108	2.5	3 819	3.0
Manistee -----	143	1.6	6 648	3.1	9 146	3.4	37	3.4	1 648	2.1
Marquette -----	55	2.5	5 126	3.4	7 444	3.6	6	11.7	14	18.0
Mason -----	183	2.1	13 146	2.7	26 731	2.6	85	3.5	4 786	2.7
Mecosta -----	459	1.1	36 899	2.1	59 096	1.9	26	5.9	1 268	4.4
Menominee -----	263	.8	33 642	1.3	45 384	1.5	9	11.3	30	11.6
Midland -----	148	2.5	5 729	3.5	9 375	3.8	15	7.9	666	1.6
Missaukee -----	235	.9	34 593	1.0	55 052	1.4	2	26.6	(D)	(D)
Monroe -----	226	1.8	4 149	2.2	12 518	1.9	101	2.6	3 718	1.4
Montcalm -----	520	1.0	29 846	1.2	76 025	1.2	44	3.5	3 968	1.2
Montmorency -----	82	2.4	4 878	3.7	7 880	6.1	3	24.6	12	24.7
Muskegon -----	223	1.6	13 546	1.9	22 813	1.9	41	4.4	3 213	1.0
Newaygo -----	454	1.0	29 827	1.3	51 041	1.5	48	3.8	5 101	1.3
Oakland -----	187	2.0	8 694	3.3	20 487	4.7	31	5.9	616	4.9
Oceana -----	269	1.3	14 011	1.3	24 988	1.1	252	1.3	16 468	.7
Ogemaw -----	210	1.6	23 936	2.1	52 440	1.9	8	13.4	50	19.4
Ontonagon -----	86	1.0	9 400	1.7	10 841	2.5	2	22.6	(D)	(D)
Osceola -----	403	1.0	38 893	1.5	53 076	1.7	7	15.9	20	17.4
Oscola -----	64	2.1	4 536	4.5	5 782	7.4	1	42.5	(D)	(D)
Otsego -----	99	1.8	10 013	2.7	10 313	2.6	3	20.0	37	19.5
Ottawa -----	628	.9	29 723	1.1	76 358	1.1	126	2.3	3 752	2.3
Presque Isle -----	216	1.5	15 612	2.2	21 248	2.3	11	10.1	32	10.2
Roscommon -----	22	3.5	1 677	8.1	1 638	6.3	—	—	—	—
Saginaw -----	270	1.5	8 243	1.6	30 205	1.4	50	3.5	1 804	1.4
St. Clair -----	508	1.1	21 115	1.7	46 790	1.9	58	3.9	862	4.2
St. Joseph -----	349	1.4	11 213	1.6	33 703	1.5	65	2.6	7 376	.9
Sanilac -----	750	1.3	56 249	1.2	169 756	1.2	17	10.3	418	9.3
Schoolcraft -----	34	3.0	3 218	3.6	6 916	7.5	3	22.3	6	22.3
Shiawassee -----	459	1.5	20 096	1.6	58 362	1.9	19	7.5	92	11.5
Tuscola -----	427	1.3	17 810	1.4	52 363	1.4	41	3.9	1 594	2.4
Van Buren -----	306	1.7	12 775	2.0	28 860	2.4	158	2.3	13 734	.5
Washtenaw -----	568	1.0	26 668	1.3	77 734	1.4	61	3.8	2 015	1.9
Wayne -----	61	4.2	1 236	6.8	3 243	8.9	64	3.9	1 222	3.7
Wexford -----	126	1.5	7 922	2.1	8 884	2.7	7	10.8	783	15.4

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-29

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.			
	Land in orchards			
	Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Michigan	3 531	.9	162 183	.6
Alcona	13	9.5	29	10.2
Alger	4	12.7	17	7.0
Allegan	113	2.7	4 600	2.5
Alpena	21	7.4	112	11.3
Antrim	76	2.9	5 069	1.9
Arenac	8	13.1	49	28.0
Baraga	1	23.5	(D)	(D)
Barry	24	6.7	74	10.8
Bay	19	6.8	72	8.5
Benzie	56	2.7	3 759	2.4
Berrien	625	1.0	24 300	.9
Branch	18	7.1	372	8.9
Calhoun	14	6.7	194	2.3
Cass	52	3.5	2 817	2.5
Charlevoix	22	5.9	986	6.7
Cheboygan	6	14.5	19	19.5
Chippewa	12	8.9	24	10.8
Clare	4	18.2	10	17.0
Clinton	32	5.9	475	9.0
Crawford	1	—	(D)	(D)
Delta	19	6.8	104	13.7
Dickinson	3	20.2	5	19.3
Eaton	27	6.8	249	8.5
Emmet	11	10.0	55	12.8
Genesee	40	4.6	713	6.0
Gladwin	9	11.9	36	20.9
Gogebic	—	—	—	—
Grand Traverse	196	1.6	10 584	2.1
Gratiot	16	8.1	246	4.6
Hillsdale	20	7.7	375	13.4
Houghton	8	9.1	60	11.9
Huron	14	9.4	73	12.4
Ingham	28	4.8	343	1.7
Ionia	49	4.8	2 522	5.4
Iosco	8	8.9	46	12.4
Iron	1	37.3	(D)	(D)
Isabella	9	11.1	158	9.8
Jackson	32	6.1	273	9.1
Kalamazoo	55	3.5	1 511	3.2
Kalkaska	1	28.7	(D)	(D)
Kent	236	1.6	16 988	.9
Keweenaw	—	—	—	—
Lake	7	12.0	26	12.0
Lapeer	48	5.3	769	5.2
Leelanau	247	1.1	17 673	.9
Lenawee	50	4.0	611	4.8
Livingston	23	6.1	386	2.5
Luce	3	16.4	6	19.8
Mackinac	2	26.3	(D)	(D)
Macomb	43	4.5	1 173	2.2
Manistee	68	2.6	4 387	1.9
Marquette	12	8.9	38	18.7
Mason	62	3.7	6 205	2.4
Mecosta	15	8.1	237	3.6
Menominee	12	9.0	47	10.7
Midland	13	9.6	43	17.3
Missaukee	3	20.2	(D)	(D)
Monroe	51	4.3	439	3.6
Montcalm	25	6.0	1 041	4.6
Montmorency	5	17.7	10	18.8
Muskegon	24	5.6	3 618	2.1
Newaygo	38	4.3	2 325	2.0
Oakland	42	5.0	618	5.5
Oceana	185	1.5	16 270	1.3
Ogemaw	11	10.7	146	16.2
Ontonagon	5	10.2	9	12.0
Osceola	6	16.3	23	19.1
Oscoda	7	12.3	21	14.2
Otsego	3	23.7	6	24.7
Ottawa	95	2.7	6 985	1.5
Presque Isle	14	8.7	30	11.4
Roscommon	2	25.4	(D)	(D)
Saginaw	31	5.6	399	3.6
St. Clair	24	6.5	252	6.9
St. Joseph	8	8.4	(D)	(D)
Sanilac	21	8.1	449	11.7
Schoolcraft	2	—	(D)	(D)
Shiawassee	20	8.1	155	8.9
Tuscola	17	7.0	309	5.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-30 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested—Con.			
	Land in orchards			
	Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Van Buren -----	312	1.6	19 232	1.3
Washtenaw -----	47	4.5	497	7.5
Wayne -----	18	8.5	148	7.7
Wexford -----	7	12.0	50	16.1

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error:
1992**

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number	46 562	.6	7 479	22.7	13.8	2.6
Land in farms ----- acres	10 088 170	.5	331 180	24.0	3.2	.7
Average size of farm ----- acres	216.7	.3	44.3	16.1	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	2 562	1.0	1 149	48.5	31.0	10.3
10 to 49 acres -----	11 148	.8	4 197	27.7	27.4	5.4
Less than 50 acres -----	13 710	.8	5 346	27.5	28.1	5.5
50 acres or more -----	32 852	.7	2 134	32.9	6.1	1.9
50 to 99 acres -----	9 013	.8	1 417	43.3	13.6	5.0
100 to 179 acres -----	8 436	.8	539	47.7	6.0	2.7
180 acres or more -----	15 403	.7	177	72.3	1.1	.8
Harvested cropland ----- farms	41 334	.6	4 976	25.5	10.7	2.4
acres	6 584 251	.5	94 924	28.3	1.4	.4
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	5 716	.9	4 533	30.1	44.2	7.4
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	5 207	.9	1 291	43.5	19.9	6.9
Less than \$2,500 -----	10 923	.8	5 824	27.4	34.8	6.2
\$2,500 or more -----	35 639	.6	1 655	31.1	4.4	1.3
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	11 966	.8	852	47.4	6.6	2.9
\$10,000 or more -----	23 673	.7	804	38.2	3.3	1.2
Market value of agricultural products sold ---\$1,000 ---	3 028 547	.3	47 182	53.1	1.5	.8
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	28 012	.6	4 157	26.0	12.9	2.9
Livestock (02) -----	18 550	.7	3 153	32.1	14.5	3.9
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	40 654	.7	7 037	23.4	14.8	2.9
Partnership or corporation -----	5 747	.6	443	55.4	7.2	3.7
Other -----	161	2.3	-	(X)	-	(X)
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	27 070	.7	7 217	23.4	21.0	3.8
Part owners and tenants -----	19 492	.6	262	60.8	1.3	.8
Part owners -----	16 500	.6	152	74.6	.9	.7
Tenants -----	2 992	.9	109	100.5	3.5	3.4
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	38 336	.6	6 894	23.7	15.2	3.0
Not on farm operated -----	5 682	.8	289	75.8	4.8	3.5
Not reported -----	2 544	.8	296	77.2	10.4	7.2
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	24 396	.6	1 570	35.7	6.0	2.0
Other -----	22 166	.7	5 034	27.3	18.5	4.0
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	43 435	.6	6 883	22.5	13.7	2.6
Female -----	3 127	.9	596	72.6	16.0	9.7
Operators by race:						
White -----	46 278	.6	6 489	23.9	12.3	2.5
Black and other races -----	284	2.0	115	100.5	28.7	20.5
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	4 631	1.2	2 061	33.8	30.8	7.1
5 years or more -----	34 858	.6	4 191	28.4	10.7	2.7
Average years on present farm -----	20.9	.2	11.7	31.3	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	7 073	.7	1 228	40.4	14.8	5.0
Average age of operator -----	51.9	.1	47.7	21.5	(X)	(X)

NOTE: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.